

Summary of activities in Switzerland

Mr. Olav is in possession of email exchanges and documents pertaining to the closing of various accounts in Switzerland involving Strategic Alliances Corporations (SAC); officers of Profilgest (Mr. Claude Tournaire, Mr. Hans Olav Eldring and Mrs. Rebecca Bouëdec); and certain Swiss banks. These documents include a memo from a meeting between Mr. LeKarz and Mrs. Bouëdec, in which references are made to the Saudi Royal family (specifically HRH Prince Misha'al) owning 85 % of SAC and LeKarz 15 %. (Enclosed in Norwegian)

Furthermore, in the fall of 2009 the owners/officers of Profilgest and the Swiss Bank Julius Baer were in trouble, presenting Mr. LeKarz with the option of either signing a W-9 form (which is a voluntarily disclosure for US Citizens to the IRS), or close down all the mentioned "unnumbered" (anonymous) accounts pertaining to Profilgest, SAC and Julius Baer. For reasons explained below, the latter option (suggested by Profilgest and Julius Baer and adopted by LeKarz/SAC) could seem to constitute an act meant to conceal the existence of these accounts from the US authorities, i.e. IRS, as well as the Saudi ownership interests in same.

As a gesture of goodwill towards Mr. LeKarz, Julius Baer and Profilgests principal officers, Mr. Tournier and Mr. Eldring, as well as members of the Saudi Royal family, Mr. Olav was asked and agreed to put his name and reputation on the line to protect the abovementioned entities. It now appears that Mr. Olav has been (mis)used as a conduit to accomplish the above, with a promise that the solution was temporary and that the accounts, and in particular the metal account, would be administered by the rightful owners, i.e. the Saudis. Nothing of the sort took place, and Mr. Olav was left with the responsibility of protecting the interests of Profilgest, that is messrs. Eldring and Tournier, as well as those of Julius Baer, indeed the integrity of the entire Swiss banking system and the Swiss authorities.

Based on information provided by Mr. LeKarz and his Swiss lawyers to Mr. Olav and his Norwegian lawyers, Profilgest had/has a client list of about 200 individuals; consisting mainly of US, European and Norwegian citizens. Through information provided by Mr. Eldring, subsequently Mr. LeKarz, Mr. Olav is in possession of a number of named clients on this list. Through Profilgest, many of these clients used their unnumbered accounts to invest in portfolios held and managed by, among others, UBS, Credit Suisse and Julius Baer, "right under the nose" of the IRS. This concealed investment scheme continued for those clients who chose to close down and move their accounts elsewhere, i.e. to smaller Swiss banks or out of the Swiss banking system entirely, aided by Profilgest and these larger Swiss banks, i.e. much the same procedure as in SACs case.

It has come to our attention that Mr. Eldring is currently undergoing investigations by Scotland Yard Fraud Squad in connection with fraudulent activities involving tens of millions of Euros while acting as an officer of Credit Suisse. In this regard Mr. Eldring is suspected of having defrauded high ranking Saudi Arabian officials in a property scam in London.

Norwegian prosecutors have built their case against Mr. Olav on the notion that he was the true owner of SAC, together with Mr. LeKarz. To cement this notion the prosecutors have gathered and most likely contributed to the fabrication of false testimonies from Mr. Tournair, Mr. Eldring and Ms. Bouëdec. These testimonies were subsequently misused by Swiss and especially Norwegian authorities to falsely claim to the Norwegian courts that the reason for

what happened in the fall of 2009 (closing of accounts and dissolution of SAC) was that Mr. Olav and Mr. LeKarz felt the heat of Thule's new Chairman's warning that an imminent investigation was under way, and thus were eager to cover up/remove any traces that could backfire. If the Norwegian court that sentenced Mr. Olav had been told the truth – that this whole operation was instigated by Julius Baer and Profilgest, due to requests/demands from IRS – Mr. Olav would most likely be a free man today. Instead this evidence was concealed from the Norwegian courts by the Norwegian police and prosecutors, for obvious reasons: They would have no case against Mr. Olav if they told the truth to the courts.

Following individuals were involved in the secret meetings with Swiss prosecutor Claudio Mascotto:

Elisabeth Harbo Lervik – Norwegian police and state prosecutor (picture enclosed)
Petter Nordeng – Norwegian police and state prosecutor (picture enclosed)
Trond Eirik Schea – Norwegian police and state prosecutor (picture enclosed)
Egil Naustvik – Investigator Norwegian police
Erik Sandtrø – Lawfirm Ro Sommernes (liquidator for Thule Estate – picture enclosed)
Per Ødegaard – Accountant for the Thule Estate

All or some of the above individuals participated in the said meetings in Switzerland where the implementation of actions described and documented in this memo took place.

In light of Mr. Olav's precarious position of having to serve 4 years in prison due to false testimonies given by the abovementioned officers of Profilgest, including the fact that no one seems able or willing in helping Mr. Olav with available and appropriate much needed evidence, Mr. Olav has decided to disclose all details of the above described circumstances to the IRS, The USA Department of Justice, Swiss fraud and money laundering authorities (MROS), and Scotland Yard Fraud Squad. The objective is obviously to initiate a broad international investigation which most likely will produce the needed documentation in regards to the true ownership of SAC, as well as bring to light what actually has taken place in Switzerland in this matter.

Although it stands as inevitable that the above mentioned entities and individuals, as well as all documents relating to the aforementioned accounts and what actually took place in Switzerland in this matter – will be exposed, this should not be regarded as harmful or damaging to anyone, including Profilgest's Swiss banking contacts (UBS, Credit Suisse, Julius Baer) as long as it becomes clear that the decision to close and transfer the above mentioned accounts was made openly and honestly in accordance with international law. Should the circumstances surrounding this continue to be suppressed, which then would indicate that the closing and transfer of the accounts was nothing but a cover-up to avoid IRS/others looking into the client list of Profilgest, then – of course – the picture is somewhat different.

In such case, it would appear that a secret onerous deal has been struck between certain actors in this matter, including Norwegian and Swiss officials. Mr. Olav also realize and have in his possession evidence that the case in Switzerland against Mr. LeKarz was "kept under wraps" so that the Swiss banks and Swiss authorities could avoid having to deal with IRS, the US Justice Department and others in what would appear to be a cover up of illegitimate practices by Profilgest, Swiss banks, and now also Swiss authorities. In other words; Swiss and Norwegian authorities coerced the actors in this play and committed a crime when covering

up the extensive cross-border fraud and money laundering activities carried out by Profilgest, its officers and/within the Swiss banking system. A huge scandal was thus suppressed by loading everything upon Mr. Olav's shoulders. Add to this that Norwegian prosecutors (Økokrim), secretly, willingly and knowingly participated in these proceedings with the Swiss authorities, Mr. Eldring and Mr. Tournier, and the Liquidator of the Thule Bankruptcy Estate, thereby defusing their own illegitimate actions, and in so doing ensured that Mr. Olav was left "holding the bag for everyone else"

FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE SWISS CONNECTION

In addition to being a *domiciliation agent* that also ran a financial advisory and consultancy firm, Profilgest made it possible for their clients (who had been allotted/provided with anonymous bank accounts in Swiss banks by Profilgest) to be able to participate in investment activities by Profilgest. This firm sold UBS', Crédit Suisses' and other large Swiss banks' financial products to Profilgest's customers. In other words, Profilgest was active in the marketing and sales of Swiss bank services with funds that were controlled and administered through anonymous accounts in UBS, Crédit Suisses and other large Swiss banks where Profilgest acted like fictitious owners of the said accounts. This went on with the blessing of UBS, Crédit Suisses and the other Swiss banks.

When it turned out that a large part of these investment services suffered major losses, plus that Eldring, and perhaps also Tournaire, emptied the/draind money out of the anonymous accounts – i.e. embezzlement – strong disapproval arose from, amongst others, American clients. However, because it concerned unlawful activities (in the eyes of the IRS), there was little that the Americans or other clients could do about Eldring's and Tournaire's embezzlement activities (which the large banks knew about and thus had approved of). The consequence of this (lack of corrections/correctives) was that the operation – and the losses – were hushed up about and thus Tournaire and Profilgest received enough room to be able to erase all traces of this and liquidate or cover up the entire operation without risking incurring claims for compensation or criminal prosecution.

The desire/need to cover up these matters, including Tournaire's and Profilgest's extensive money laundering operations, appears to be the cause for the secret proceedings in Switzerland that were carried out against Olav for more than 1.5 years, and that also forms the foundation for the covering up operation that Økokrim and the trustee had done to get him convicted. As documentation for the long standing secret proceedings against Mr. Olav in Switzerland, a portion of the interrogation record is attached:

Relevant documents: Among others Interrogation record from the district attorney in Genève dated and signed 8 January 2014

These interrogation records were never offered or submitted to Olav's defense lawyers, together with more than 20 binders of material from the proceedings in Switzerland which the abovementioned officers and representatives for the Thule Estate has successfully denied Olav access to for the past 5 years.

The records show how the whole process was rigged, where the ones making the charges against Olav (representatives of the law firm Ro Sommernes) have been allowed to work together with Norwegian investigators and a certain Swiss prosecutor Claudio Mascotto, in Switzerland and conduct the investigation on their own including the interrogation of

witnesses and others, in secret and without informing Olav who was the one that was charged. Thereafter, the above parties – without the risk of being caught – was able to manipulate the documentation and other information which in all secrecy was collected from, amongst others, criminal parties in Switzerland. With this type of investigative strategy it goes without saying that the above mentioned Norwegian and Swiss entities have simply been able to manipulate the Norwegian criminal case through, amongst other things, freely removing all documentation and other information that does not fit into the case. To this day Olav has been denied access to all documentation used by these entities in Switzerland, which constitutes a clear breach of Article 6 of the European Human rights Convention and the United Nations social and political rights. The case against Olav serves as a horrifying example of how and to what length the authorities can rig a criminal case in Norway without having to be responsible or risking being corrected or reprimanded.

As mentioned, Profilgest has been closed down, liquidated or is in holding mode, which obviously has been done for the purpose of: **1)** covering up these persons' dealings with American, Russian and Norwegian clients, i.e. clients that Tournaire and Eldring have kept and administered funds to in so-called 'anonymous Swiss bank accounts', **2)** covering up Tournaire's and Eldring's extensive money laundering operation, plus **3)** thereby covering up Økokrim's secret procedures (including cooperation with criminal elements and fabrication of evidence) in Switzerland.

Relevant document: Among others Attorney Rebecca Bouëdec's email of 9 October 2010 to Olav

Økokrim's (Norwegian Criminal Police) complicity in tax evasion, money laundering and other international/transnational financial crime

Beyond what has been discussed above, it has been revealed that Økokrim has familiarized themselves with documentation that states that Profilgest Management SA – owned by the law firm Tournaire & Associates – manages secret (and consequently withheld from taxation) fortunes for a number of named people residing in Norway and the USA, amongst other states. According to Økokrim's principal witness, Hans Olav Eldring, a list of clients exists with about 200 names including between 15 and 20 celebrity investors. These investors have been clients of Profilgest and have been allotted *Swiss authority-protection* through so-called *anonymous bank accounts* in Swiss banks, among them Julius Baer, UBS and Crédit Suisse. According to Eldring this applies to, amongst others, well known high net worth individuals/financiers like Mr. Jan Haudemann Andersen, Mr. Tor Axel Voldberg, Mr. Rune Rinnan, Mr. Bjørn Rune Gjelsten and Mr. Idar Vollvik.

Let me emphasize that I am not claiming that the above mentioned Norwegian investors have done anything unlawful, but that they, together with 10 to 15 other Norwegians, along with an unspecified number of US and European clients are on a list of Profilgest's clients that have so-called *anonymous accounts* in Swiss banks. As the Norwegian press has covered several times, Pål Gruben, Gjermund Cappelen (who has been convicted on drug charges) and other convicted criminals are also on Profilgest's client list.

Three of the principal witnesses, Hans Olav Eldring (employed by Profilgest), attorney Rebecca Bouëdec (employed in the law firm Tournaire) and Claude Tournier have assisted a number of Norwegians, Americans and others with what may be serious financial crimes

against their own countries and which in any case have contributed to undermining the rule of law which exist in a democratic society.

In retrospect it has emerged that Eldring is the subject of a civil lawsuit in London. Furthermore, it is known that Scotland Yard's Fraud Squad is investigating Eldring for financial fraud and that in that regard he has been interrogated on several occasions. The matter concerns criminal acts done by Eldring during his time as an employee at the Swiss bank Crédit Suisse.

Økokrim's principal witnesses are therefore to be regarded as notorious criminals, used for the purpose of securing a conviction of Olav in Norway, in an apparent trade off with the Swiss authorities in what appears to be a cover up of tax evasion and money laundering activities by Profilgest's principal officers; Tournier and Eldring through the Swiss banking system.

Økokrim – led by Trond Erik Schea – has covered up this transnational/international financial activity which would appear to constitute an act of accessories to a cover up operation by the Swiss prosecutor Mascotti, in connections with activities conducted by the officers of Profilgest, in particular Eldring and Tournier, and that the objective was to; 1) secure a conviction of Olav, and 2) cover up of illegal activities involving the Swiss banks with whom Profilgest conducted their business deals, i.e. to protect Julius Baer, UBS, Credit Suisse, others.

It obviously makes matters worse that the Norwegian Criminal Police/State Prosecutors used their principal witnesses in Switzerland (and the criminal environment that they belong to) in the fabrication of criminal cases in Norway, and at the same time protect their international criminal financial activities so that it can continue. In that respect it is alarming for the Norwegian prosecution and judicial system – including public trust in these institutions – that the prosecution and the courts have been used as an arena for protecting and promoting this criminal activity. The prosecution's and courts' activities in the present case cannot contribute to anything other than to break down the public's trust in these important societal institutions.

September 2016/heo