

MEET ASHTON CARTER

Ashton Carter - The Rothschild Agent Running the Pentagon

October 30, 2015

The American military has become the enforcer and muscle that the globalists have been using to keep uncooperative nations in line with the international financial system... The three policies that are critical to the goals of the international bankers are free trade, mass immigration, and American interventionism abroad.

– Brian O'Brien, "What do the Bankers Want?"
The Tyranny of the Federal Reserve (2015)

Americans are likely to be confused by the actions being taken by the U.S. military in Syria. Why has the United States waged a covert and illegal war against the government of Syria for more than four years, a war that has resulted in 250,000 deaths and 11 million people forced to leave their homes? What American national interest is being served by arming and supporting anti-government rebels and mercenary forces trying to overthrow the elected leader of Syria? Who is behind the U.S. military intervention in Syria?

MEET ASHTON CARTER

Ashton Baldwin Carter is the current U.S. Secretary of Defense, since February 17, 2015. Prior to being appointed head of the U.S. military, Carter was Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics from April 2009 to October 2011, with responsibility for procurement of all technology, systems, services, and supplies, bases and infrastructure, energy, and environment, and more than \$50 billion annually in R&D. He was then promoted to Deputy Secretary of Defense from October 2011 to December 2013, serving as the chief operating officer of the Department of Defense, overseeing more than \$600 billion per year and 2.4 million civilian and military personnel, and managing global 24/7 operations.

Ashton Carter is a hawk. Carter supported the illegal invasion of Iraq in 2003, and is an advocate of preventive wars against North Korea and Iran. In response to the situation in Ukraine, Carter considered proposing deployment of ground-launched cruise missiles in Europe that could pre-emptively destroy Russian weapons. Carter is certainly a hawk, but whose hawk is he?

THE ROTHSCHILD AGENT

It is certainly not America's national interest that motivates Ashton Carter. If Carter were truly a supporter of American values and interests he would not have supported the invasion of Iraq in 2003. This war is now recognized as having been a strategic blunder and a war crime, a war of aggression based on false claims and lies. Furthermore, if Carter's actions were based on U.S. national interests would he engage the U.S. military in Syria in an illegal war against the government of that country? It is primarily because of the involvement and support of the U.S. and other outside forces, such as Saudi Arabia and Qatar, that the war in Syria

has dragged on for more than four years and caused a massive flow of refugees from the war-torn nation.

Ashton Carter has been cultivated for decades by the Rothschild banking family to serve their interests, which is what he is doing as U.S. Secretary of Defense. After graduating from Yale University in 1976, Carter went to study at Oxford, England, as a Rhodes Scholar for three years. Rhodes Scholarships are awarded by the Rhodes Trust, which is funded by his estate under the administration of Nathan Rothschild.

BELFER CENTER

Carter taught at Harvard University from 1984 and became a professor and associate director of the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs at Harvard University's John F. Kennedy School of Government in 1988. He served as director of the Belfer Center from 1990 to 1993. Carter is currently a member of the board (on leave) of the Belfer Center.

Nathaniel Rothschild is on the Advisory Board at the Belfer Center, along with his Russian business partner Oleg Deripaska. Rothschild is a non-executive director of Genel Energy plc, the largest oil producer in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq. He is also a non-executive director of Barrick Gold Corporation, the world's largest gold company.

Oleg Deripaska is also the chief executive officer and chairman of United Company RUSAL, the world's largest producer of aluminium. Deripaska is the president and a director of his holding company, En+, where the chairman of the board is Nathaniel Rothschild.

GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS LLC

<http://bollyn.com/public/GlobalTechnologyPartners.jpg>

Carter was a Senior Partner at Global Technology Partners LLC, a firm focused on advising investment firms in technology and defense. In 2000, when Carter was a senior partner, GTP LLC was acquired by Rothschild North America.

When Rothschild acquired GTP, Gerald Rosenfeld, Chief Executive Officer of Rothschild North America, said, "We believe the combination of Rothschild's global relationships and Global Technology Partner's access to and knowledge of the international defense and aerospace industry will create high level strategic advisory and investment opportunities."

The following year, 2001, the U.S. defense budget doubled from \$300 billion to \$600 billion per year as a result of the terror attacks of September 11, 2001. The Rothschilds were very well placed to profit from the immense flows of money pouring into the Pentagon.

In 1998, as a senior partner at GTP, Carter co-authored an article with John Deutch and Philip Zelikow entitled "Catastrophic Terrorism: Tackling the New Danger." The first section of the article is subtitled "Imagining the Transforming Event." This article lays out the blueprint for how the authors think the U.S. government should respond to an act of "catastrophic terrorism", which, the authors said, "poses an eminent threat to America's future."

AN EMINENT THREAT?

OVERCOMING DISBELIEF

Catastrophic terrorism poses an eminent threat to America's future. But the United States can fight back only if it sets the right goals.

- "Catastrophic Terrorism: Tackling the New Danger"

by Ashton Carter, John Deutch, and Philip Zelikow,
Foreign Affairs, November/December 1998

The words 'eminent' and 'imminent' are often confused.

Eminent means outstanding; of very high rank or status.

Imminent means immediate; impending; soon to happen.

Why did these scholars use the wrong word in this key sentence of their article? Were they confused or were they reluctant to use the word, "imminent," fearing that such uncanny prescience might look suspicious when the "transforming event" of catastrophic terrorism they predicted came to pass two years later?

Amazingly, **two of the three authors who wrote the article on catastrophic terrorism went on to play key roles in the interpretation of and response to the events of 9-11.** Philip Zelikow was the executive director of the 9-11 Commission, which created the official myth of what happened on September 11, 2001, while Ashton Carter has served in the three highest level positions at the Pentagon for much of the Zionist fraud known as the "War on Terror."

THE PENTAGON GRAVY TRAIN

It is certainly no coincidence that the people who predicted the catastrophic terrorism of 9-11 were appointed to these key positions in this massive and murderous fraud. Nor is it a coincidence that **the Rothschild family is connected to all the positions held by Ashton Carter since he graduated from Yale in 1976.**

As Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics from April 2009 to October 2011, Carter was responsible for Pentagon procurement for all technology, systems, services, and supplies, bases and infrastructure - and more than \$50 billion annually in R&D. He went on to become Deputy Secretary of Defense, serving as the chief operating officer of the Department of Defense, overseeing more than \$600 billion and 2.4 million civilian and military personnel, and managing global 24/7 operations from October 2011 to December 2013.

The U.S. defense budget is the biggest and richest gravy train in the world and for the Rothschilds to have their agents placed in such key positions allows them to profit from the massive defense spending that is now close to six hundred billion dollars per year.

INFLUENCING MILITARY POLICY

To have one of their agents serving as the Secretary of Defense also gives the Rothschilds the advantage of being able to influence U.S. military policy. In early October, for example, the U.S. dropped 120 tons of weapons and ammunition into the northeastern province of Syria called Al Hasakah. There are only two forces active in Hasakah, the Kurds and Islamic State. The U.S. weapons were dropped in the Kurdish-controlled part, called West Kurdistan. Nathan Rothschild, Carter's associate, is co-founder and director of Genel Energy plc, the largest oil producer in the neighboring Kurdistan Region of Iraq.

The U.S. military intervention in Iraq and Syria have done a great deal to break up these nations into ethnic statelets, like the Kurdish region in the north. Nathan Rothschild will profit from the Balkanization of Iraq and Syria, just as he did when Yugoslavia was broken into pieces. Rothschild and Deripaska

took many of the key assets of Montenegro, including its Bay of Kotor, where they have built a yacht harbor and casino enterprise.

http://bollyn.com/public/Porto_Montenegro.jpg

THE BAY OF KOTOR - When Yugoslavia was broken into pieces, Nathan Rothschild got a piece of the Bay of Kotor, the pearl of Montenegro, along with other valuable assets. Porto Montenegro is the Rothschild-owned yacht harbor and resort on the Bay of Kotor.

Apart from his oil company in the Kurdish region of Iraq, Rothschild is also invested in an oil and gas company in the Israeli-occupied Golan Heights. By waging war against the government of Syria, the Rothschilds hope to break up that nation so they can fully exploit the mineral wealth of the Golan Heights.

The strategy of breaking up the secular Arab states into ethnic statelets has long been the Zionist strategy to divide and conquer the Middle East. This strategy, known as the Oded Yinon plan, was articulated in a paper published in English in 1982. In 2015, we see that it seems to be the Yinon plan the U.S. military is working from, despite what officials say about preserving the territorial integrity of the nations it invades.

Sources and Recommended Reading:

Ash Carter, Wikipedia

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ash_Carter#cite_ref-cnnlibrary_12-0

Nathaniel Rothschild, International Council Member, Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs
belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/experts/773/nathaniel_rothschild.html

"Rothschild Forms Strategic Alliance with Global Technology Partners," PRNewswire, May 31, 2000

www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/rothschild-forms-strategic-alliance-with-global-technology-partners-73363197.html

"Rupert Murdoch and Lord Rothschild: The Oil Barons of Occupied Syria" by Christopher Bollyn, September 2, 2013

www.bollyn.com/rupert-murdoch-and-lord-rothschild-the-oil-barons-of-occupied-syria-2/

"The Zionist Network behind 9-11" by Christopher Bollyn, December 7, 2006

www.bollyn.com/the-zionist-network-behind-9-11/

- http://bollyn.com/#article_15276

æ æ æ

OCTOBER 14, 2007 BY MICHAEL

Thanks to www.cooperativeresearch.org

**November 1997-August 1998: Future 9/11
Commission Staff Attend Terrorism Study Group;
Predict Consequences of 'Catastrophic Terrorism'**

Over a period of nine months, faculty from Harvard University, Stanford University, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and the University of Virginia meet in a collaborative effort called the Catastrophic Terrorism Study

Group. Its members include experts on terrorism, national security, intelligence, and law enforcement. The project director is Philip Zelikow, future executive director of the 9/11 Commission. Future 9/11 Commissioner Jamie Gorelick is also a member, along with Ernest May, who will be a senior advisor to the 9/11 Commission. The culmination of the group's efforts is a report written by Zelikow and its two co-chairs: former Assistant Secretary of Defense Ashton Carter and former CIA Director John Deutch. A condensed version of the report is published in the journal *Foreign Affairs* in late 1998. They write: "Long part of the Hollywood and Tom Clancy repertory of nightmarish scenarios, catastrophic terrorism has moved from far-fetched horror to a contingency that could happen next month. Although the United States still takes conventional terrorism seriously... it is not yet prepared for the new threat of catastrophic terrorism." They predict the consequences of such an event: "An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America's history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans' fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test, or perhaps even worse. Constitutional liberties would be challenged as the United States sought to protect itself from further attacks by pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and the use of deadly force. More violence would follow, either as other terrorists seek to imitate this great 'success' or as the United States strikes out at those considered responsible. Like Pearl Harbor, such an event would divide our past and future into a 'before' and 'after.'" [Carter, Deutch, and Zelikow, 10/1998; *Foreign Affairs*, 11/1998; 9/11 Commission, 7/24/2004, pp. xi-xiv]

<http://www.visibility911.org/who-is-ashton-carter/>



Would Obama appoint a known 9/11 criminal as Secretary of Defense? Since foreknowledge of an act of high treason and mass murder constitutes criminal conspiracy – and since participation in plotting such an act would be an even worse crime – maybe it’s time to ask some hard questions about Ashton Carter. Such as: How did he and his buddy and co-author Philip Zelikow know, back in 1998, that the 9/11 “New Pearl Harbor” was just around the corner?

September 11th: The New Pearl Harbor
(a film in three parts)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O1GCeuSr3Mk>

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K7mDXHn_byA

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DegLpgJmFL8>

To see the fully indexed film in one page go to <http://www.luogocomune.net/site/modul....> You can also purchase the 5-hour film in a 3 DVD set. Free duplication and distribution of all DVDs by Massimo Mazzucco is encouraged. Italian and French versions also available. Full info at luogocomune.net.



Politico's "Morning Defense" column's headline proclaims:

Ash Carter Predicted 9/11 and Its Aftermath

The article makes a case for Carter's precocious prowess at precognitive prognostication. It is worth quoting at length:

— Here's Carter in a 1998 essay in *Foreign Affairs*, co-written with Philip Zelikow, who would later be executive director of the 9/11 Commission, and former Deputy Defense Secretary John Deutch:

"An act of catastrophic terrorism would be a watershed event in American history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented in peacetime and undermine America's fundamental sense of security, as did the Soviet atomic bomb test in 1949. Like Pearl Harbor, this event would divide our past and future into a before and after. The United States might respond with draconian measures, scaling back civil liberties, allowing wider surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and use of deadly force. More violence could follow, either further terrorist attacks or U.S. counterattacks. Belatedly, Americans would judge their leaders negligent for not addressing terrorism more urgently."

<http://fam.ag/1wtevyQ>

— And here's Carter in a September 2000 book chapter he co-wrote with former Defense Secretary William Perry:

In the coming years, "an incident of catastrophic terrorism on the U.S. homeland would be likely to spark concern and effort on a comparable scale" to the reaction to the Soviet detonation of an atomic bomb in 1949. "It is easy to see how the concern could escalate to hysteria, and how actions taken in the angry aftermath of a destructive event could be corrosive of civil liberties as well as counterproductive. Because the aftermath of [a] homeland attack could be as fearsome as the attack itself, our government should begin to organize for this future threat now." <http://bit.ly/1yQxuCW>

The Politico column leaves out one crucial observation: Ashton Carter and Philip Zelikow did not only foresee that an act of "catastrophic terrorism" would transform America the way Pearl Harbor did; but they even predicted precisely where it would strike. **Their 1998 *Foreign Affairs* article actually predicted that the complete destruction of the World Trade Center would give America its "New Pearl Harbor."**

Since hindsight is always 20-20, some may say: "Well of course they did! It was so OBVIOUS that the World Trade Center would be targeted again! Anyone could see that the WTC would be annihilated in less than three years." What rubbish.

First, the 1993 WTC bombing, which was **perpetrated by corrupt elements of the FBI**, led to a huge uptick in Trade Center security. The only people with a reasonable shot at even attacking the WTC, much less seriously damaging it, much less demolishing it, were the people who controlled that security apparatus.

More pertinently, what are the odds that a ragtag band of shrimpy little cocaine-snorting stripper-dating pork-chop-relishing gambling-junket-loving "radical Muslim" alcoholics

who couldn't even fly Cessnas would somehow manage to destroy the entire World Trade Center complex, including its three massive skyscrapers, with two planes...and simultaneously bomb the most heavily-defended building on earth, the Pentagon? If we had an infinite number of alternate universes and could run that plot over and over to see how often it would succeed, the results might look something like this:

Odds that all of the plotters and "hijackers" would maintain operational secrecy and successfully insert "hijackers" carrying package-openers as weapons on all four planes: ONE IN FIVE HUNDRED.

Odds that if they somehow got on the planes, the five-foot-tall 100-pound "muscle hijackers" would get into the cockpit, overcome the big, tough, military-trained pilots, and subdue all the passengers on even one of the planes: ONE IN ONE HUNDRED.

Odds that this would happen on all four planes: $1/100 \times 1/100 \times 1/100 \times 1/100 = 1/100,000,000$ or ONE IN ONE HUNDRED MILLION.

Odds that such alleged hijackers could seize control of even one plane without that pilot or copilot squawking the hijack code – a procedure that takes a couple of seconds? ONE IN ONE THOUSAND.

Odds that this would happen on all four planes? $1/1000 \times 1/1000 \times 1/1000 \times 1/1000 = 1/1,000,000,000,000$ or ONE IN ONE TRILLION.

Odds that drugged-up alcoholic playboys posing as Muslims, who were such bad pilots they were not allowed to solo in Cessnas, would be able to direct

even one plane to its target and hit it at aeronautically impossible speed – a feat that the world’s best pilots have been unable to replicate in simulators tweaked to allow the planes to fly that fast at sea level? ONE IN A MILLION (and that’s being generous).

Odds that this would happen on three of the four planes? $1/1,000,000 \times 1/1,000,000 \times 1/1,000,000 = 1/1,000,000,000,000,000,000 = \text{ONE IN A QUINTILLION.}$

Odds that a World Trade Center Tower, if struck by a 767 and damaged by fire, would suffer even a partial collapse? $1/1,000$. Odds that both Towers would suffer even a partial collapse? $1/1,000 \times 1/1,000 = \text{ONE IN ONE MILLION.}$ Odds that a World Trade Center Tower, if struck by a 767 and damaged by fire, would explosively disappear into its own footprint (the path of most resistance) at free-fall acceleration, leaving behind virtually no rubble pile? ONE IN A QUINTILLION.

Odds that the Pentagon, the best-defended building on the planet, could be hit by a “hijacked” plane with no air defense response almost 90 minutes after emergency phone bridges between the Secret Service and the FAA were set up to deal with “terrorist hijackings”? ONE IN TEN THOUSAND. (And that’s assuming our military is incompetent to the point of insanity. With a competent military, it would be one in a trillion at most.)

Odds that a suicide hijacker would WANT to crash a plane at grasstop level into the relatively empty, newly-reinforced West Wing, rather than simply dive into the roof towards the East Wing where all the brass were? ONE IN TEN THOUSAND.

Odds that the same hijacker, stupid enough to make the above choice, would be competent enough navigate the obstacle course of the alleged approach path – a feat that highly skilled pilots cannot replicate in a simulator – and actually hit the building? ONE IN TEN THOUSAND.

So what are the odds that the 9/11 plot, with all of its grotesquely improbable elements, could succeed? I have no idea; when I tried to multiply all those numbers together, my calculator imploded into its own footprint.

No wonder the insurance companies allowed Netanyahu's close friend, the notorious Zionist mobster Larry Silverstein, to purchase the condemned-for-asbestos World Trade Center two months before 9/11 and then double the insurance...and even change it to cash payout. The odds of anything really bad happening to the WTC seemed astronomical.

I think we can all agree that Ashton Carter's 1998 foreknowledge that 9/11 would soon happen was not gained by his being a really smart guy who realized exactly what the dastardly terrorists were capable of. Instead, Carter and Zelikow were presumably part of the team that designed the 9/11 false flag deception.

Carter's telltale 1998 article, which in a sane world would by itself be enough to get him hanged for treason, offers clues about the real motives of the real perpetrators.

Carter and Zelikow's key prediction is that the coming act of catastrophic terrorism would **"...undermine America's fundamental sense of security, as did the Soviet atomic bomb test in 1949. Like Pearl Harbor, this event would divide our past and future into a before and after."**

Let's unpack the above sentence.

Pearl Harbor divided America's past and future into a before and after **because** it undermined the nation's fundamental sense of security. *Before Pearl Harbor* was the era when Americans felt secure...and reluctant to go to war. *After Pearl Harbor* was the "whole new world" in which Americans would embrace their new role as world's rogue cop – and murder over 50 million innocent people in undeclared wars and CIA interventions. Vltchek and Chomsky do the math: "Along with the 55 million or so people killed as the direct result of wars initiated by the West, pro-Western coups and other conflicts, hundreds of millions have died indirectly in absolute misery, and silently" since World War II. This holocaust, which William Blum calls "the American holocaust," is by far the greatest mass killing ever inflicted on the world, dwarfing all others by orders of magnitude.

How did a single event – the alleged surprise attack at Pearl Harbor on December 7th, 1941 – transform the American people so profoundly that they suddenly abandoned George Washington's non-interventionist foreign policy and embraced permanent war for empire?

Defense Department psy-op specialist Bob Deutch – the world's leading expert on using focus groups to achieve mass mind control – **discovered that groups ranging from Hell's Angels to entire nations engage in aggressive behavior primarily to defend against perceived insecurity and vulnerability.** Pearl Harbor annihilated America's sense of "homeland security." The result has been a "whole new world" of red-white-and-blue genocide on a planetary scale. The Soviet atomic bomb test of 1949, as Carter and Zelikow suggest, reinforced the lesson of Pearl Harbor. It helped Harry Truman "scare the hell out of the American people" in order to keep the US military-industrial complex on a wartime footing even in peacetime.

Ash Carter and Phil Zelikow apparently saw that something similar could be accomplished with a false-flag "New Pearl Harbor." In 1998, when they published their article in *Foreign Affairs*, the end of the Cold War had left Americans feeling way too secure. Military budgets, as a percentage of GDP, were falling. Citizens clamored for a "peace dividend." And perhaps most importantly, America's softness in the Middle East was allowing anti-Israel forces – including Saddam Hussein's Iraq, Islamic Revolutionary Iran, Qaddafi's Libya, al-Turabi's Sudan, various "terrorist" groups, and so on – to support the martyrdom operations that were threatening Israel's existence.

Philip Zelikow, Carter's co-conspirator in foreseeing the 9/11 event, let the cat out of the bag. On September 10th, 2002 – the eve of the first anniversary of 9/11 – Zelikow, speaking to a crowd at the University of Virginia, admitted that the real purpose of the "New Pearl Harbor" was to spur the United States to defend Israel:

"I'll tell you what I think the real threat [is] and actually has been since 1990 – it's the threat against Israel. And this is the threat that dare not speak its name, because the Europeans don't care deeply about that threat, I will tell you frankly. And the American government doesn't want to lean too hard on it rhetorically, because it is not a popular sell."

Unlike Philip Zelikow, Ashton Carter is not Jewish. Why would he help orchestrate a "New Pearl Harbor" designed to create a whole-new-world in which the US bankrupts itself, morally and fiscally, by waging permanent war against Israel's enemies?

The answer appears to be that although Carter is not Jewish, he is a "kosher gentile." Carter has made a career of serving as token goy in groups of hard-line Jewish Zionists. For example, he is the token goy (alongside kosher co-authors

Dennis Ross and Michael Makovsky) behind JINSA's Carter-Makovsky-Ross Report railing against the Iranian nuclear program.

Ashton Carter is such a close associate of Paul Wolfowitz, the 9/11 mastermind who began working on the New Pearl Harbor project while studying under Leo Strauss in the 1960s, that Carter's appointment as SecDef has been called "the second coming of Wolfowitz."

Wolfie's appointment as DepSecDef in 2000 signaled the coming of his pet project, the New Pearl Harbor. Will Carter's appointment as SecDef likewise signal 911-2-B?

You don't need to be a psychic (or a traitor plotting an attack on your own country) to foresee that Ashton Carter's nomination as Secretary of Defense means that something very, very bad is coming down the pipe.

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2014/12/05/ash911/>

http://bollyn.com/public/US_Soldier_on_Terrorists.jpg

See also:

<https://t.co/ZwbWX4awNP>

Tip of the cap to <https://twitter.com/ChrisRulon>

and <https://willyloman.wordpress.com/2015/12/18/obamas-catastrophic-terrorist-attack-may-provide-the-crazies-the-political-backdrop-they-need/>

meta description: Ashton Carter, Deutch, Zelikow, eminent terror, international bankers, defense budget

key word: Ashton Carter

tags: globalism, international bankers, Syria, Oxford, Rhodes, defense budget, Deutch, Zelikow, eminent terror, Kurdistan, Montenegro, Catastrophic Terrorism Study Group, 9/11