**Transcript**

00:00 this is intentional behavior to deceive

00:04 and falsify the record in one way or

00:06 another

00:07 preventing ballots from being counted

00:09 counting false ballots manipulating the

00:11 statistical figures that you turn out

00:13 whatever it may be

00:15 this thing is a criminal case and it's

00:19 amazing to me that it hasn't been

00:20 handled as a criminal case to begin with

00:23 aoc is telling us they're going to drop

00:25 lists

00:26 of enemies of the people any

00:29 prominent person who supported trump is

00:31 going to be on aoc's list

00:32 well now you're talking about

00:33 neo-bolshevism right that's exactly what

00:36 the vote was exactly what the nazis did

00:37 that's exactly what a totalitarian

00:39 government does when it gets into power

00:40 it draws up a list of its enemies and

00:43 then does bad things to them so are we

00:44 hearing this

00:46 so you see this isn't simply a matter of

00:48 the the nixon kennedy problem the

00:50 abstract

00:51 problem of oh my gosh we'll have an

00:53 illegitimate person in his president

00:55 because he didn't really

00:56 win the vote here we're going to have an

00:58 illegitimate person in this president

01:01 whose supporters are all demanding

01:03 bolshevik purges

01:06 and you will see even worse from the

01:08 media

01:09 and you will see worse from the from big

01:11 tech big tech is certainly not going to

01:13 be

01:14 reigned in in terms of its censorship

01:18 and its manipulation by a paris biden

01:22 administration

01:24 it will be exactly the opposite same

01:25 with the big media

01:27 if the systems of due process which

01:29 already

01:30 exists can be thwarted

01:35 not just circumvented because normal

01:37 vote for the circumvention is done

01:38 secretly

01:39 and you really are supposed to never

01:40 find out about it this is as i said

01:42 before in your face there's no

01:44 they predicted this was going to happen

01:47 they did it

01:48 and then i was saying too bad for you we

01:50 got away with it

01:52 now what is the due process system for

01:55 dealing with that

01:56 when the people who got away with it

01:58 then end up

01:59 in control of the highest offices in the

02:01 country there is no

02:03 constitutional method specified for

02:06 throwing these people out if they get

02:08 away with fraud and nobody

02:10 the judiciary the congress the state

02:12 legislatures if they are all

02:14 thwarted in overturning this criminal

02:17 result

02:19 there is no due process provision in the

02:21 constitution for dealing with it

02:23 there's due process provision in the

02:25 declaration of independence for dealing

02:26 with it

02:28 but see we go to a different we go to a

02:30 different level of law here

02:32 is it really that much in their interest

02:34 in the short term to create this

02:35 situation

02:37 in which all constitutional bets are now

02:40 off where do those

02:41 situations generally lead they lead to

02:44 some kind of political

02:46 social slash economic crisis in which

02:49 there is a real honest-to-god coup by

02:52 the military the military steps in to

02:55 restore

02:56 order usually temporarily right that's

02:58 always their story we'll just do it for

03:00 a while

03:01 and then they never leave and that's the

03:03 danger here these people have played

03:05 with fire

03:07 because they've undercut the legitimacy

03:09 of the entire bloody system

03:18 [Music]

03:30 welcome back to liberty and finance we

03:32 have a distinguished returning guest

03:34 dr edwin vera is a constitutional

03:36 attorney

03:37 he's here with us to discuss questions

03:39 about due process

03:41 for constitutional elections and there's

03:43 certainly enough to talk about in that

03:44 regards right now today is monday

03:47 november 9th 2020 dr vera thank you for

03:49 joining us again

03:51 oh my pleasure in the wake of the u.s

03:54 presidential election between

03:55 donald trump and joe biden we've seen

03:58 this swirl of controversy both before

04:00 and now especially

04:01 during and after the actual election

04:03 about the potential for

04:05 inappropriate process being followed the

04:08 potential

04:09 risk of tampering or swaying of the vote

04:13 whether in fact the results were

04:15 prematurely announced or

04:17 called by major media outlets and even

04:19 now

04:20 whether in fact there's still another

04:22 shoe that's going to drop and whether

04:23 people should keep their conclusions at

04:25 bay

04:25 as due process happens or whether this

04:27 has gotten so muddled that it's not

04:29 going to be possible

04:30 for the proper process we'd like you to

04:32 walk us through that in an orderly

04:33 manner at least understanding what the

04:34 proper process is supposed to be

04:36 but in the face of that we've got a

04:38 range

04:39 of fairly high profile people weighing

04:42 in on it on the one end you've got south

04:43 dakota governor noem

04:45 saying let's let due process take its

04:47 course as it did

04:48 previously with the bush gore election

04:51 we have

04:51 judge janine shapiro who had a show

04:54 cancelled

04:55 by fox news because evidently she was

04:57 going to come out strongly pro-trump

05:00 and questioning the validity of the

05:01 election process and she

05:03 posted privately on social media

05:05 yesterday saying it's not over until

05:06 it's over

05:07 we've heard and seen a transcript

05:10 circulating

05:11 from a doctor steve bazenic saying that

05:14 this

05:14 whole thing was really a sting operation

05:17 and it's a double reverse

05:19 staying on the part of the trump team

05:20 because they've done high

05:22 integrity water marking using block

05:24 chain traceable

05:26 on every ballot out there so they'll be

05:28 able to tell where all the

05:29 real ballots and not real ballots

05:30 they've got national guard troops in

05:32 place to enforce all this

05:33 so we've got just this range of of

05:36 responses

05:37 could you bring us down to earth and

05:39 give us your view of

05:41 what the process is supposed to be by

05:43 the constitution

05:44 whether it's being followed as far as

05:46 we're aware or what remedies need to

05:47 happen to get us back on track

05:50 well it might be a good idea to go back

05:52 in history

05:54 to the nixon kennedy election 1960

05:59 and those people who were alive then

06:02 paying attention

06:03 remember that nixon lost that election

06:07 by very close vote nationwide

06:10 and illinois was the key state illinois

06:14 went for

06:15 kennedy and as a result of illinois

06:18 nixon lost or at least that was the

06:20 perception at the time that if

06:22 illinois had gone the other way would

06:24 have been different story

06:26 and no doubt nixon was

06:30 importuned by some of his advisers

06:33 to challenge the result in illinois

06:37 because there was

06:38 widespread suspicion that there had been

06:41 a great deal of vote fraud

06:43 especially coming out of chicago and the

06:45 environs of chicago

06:46 engineered by the mob

06:51 the claim was that john kennedy's father

06:54 joseph p

06:54 kennedy who had had some questionable

06:57 business dealings

06:59 during the 1930s uh

07:02 had friends in the mob and had arranged

07:04 for

07:06 that election to be fixed dead people

07:08 coming out

07:09 voting in chicago was the classic

07:11 example

07:12 the event nixon took the position

07:16 uh apparently privately and he didn't

07:18 come on the air and state this but

07:20 the story was he he took the position

07:21 that no it would be a constitutional

07:23 crisis

07:25 if he challenged the election of kennedy

07:28 the supposed election of kennedy on the

07:30 basis of

07:32 some kind of engineered fraud involving

07:34 the mob and kennedy's father or whatever

07:38 okay that's what happens and nixon let

07:39 it go

07:42 and only in the sense the cognoscenti

07:44 were aware of what was behind the scenes

07:45 and all of that

07:48 and you think about that going back and

07:50 say well which would be the worst

07:51 constitutional crisis

07:54 challenging an election on the basis

07:56 that uh you know kennedy's entourage

07:58 whether his father or others

08:00 uh arranged for vote fraud and vote for

08:03 something's going on in the united

08:04 states throughout its history

08:06 or allowing someone

08:09 who was not legitimately elected to

08:12 serve

08:13 as president united states in which case

08:17 everything that he did would be

08:18 illegitimate

08:20 because he wasn't properly elected and

08:23 one would think that it would be the

08:24 latter rather than the former you

08:26 certainly don't want

08:27 the precedent there that an

08:30 illegitimately elected

08:32 or an ill ineligible person

08:36 should be able to take over the office

08:38 of president

08:40 well okay here we are now and we we're

08:44 in the same type of situation

08:46 except uh trump apparently is going to

08:49 challenge

08:50 this election on the basis of voter

08:52 fraud

08:54 and let's go back a little politically

08:58 the democratic party and its supporters

09:01 have been going after trump

09:05 actually even before he was elected but

09:07 let's just say from the day after he was

09:08 elected

09:10 and they've run one kind of conspiracy

09:12 after another they ran the russia

09:15 in interference election interference

09:17 conspiracy they ran the ukraine

09:19 telephone

09:20 call conspiracy they ran the impeachment

09:23 farce

09:24 they ran the mueller investigation and

09:27 on and on

09:27 they ran the flynn frame up that was the

09:30 first part of it the flynn frame up they

09:31 ran all these things

09:34 against trump and as a result of

09:36 notwithstanding that they were

09:38 exposed in one way or another during the

09:40 course of the past four years

09:42 nothing happened not a single one of

09:47 those people in the fbi the doj that ran

09:49 this operation

09:51 not hillary clinton not barack obama not

09:53 the other people

09:55 political figures that were privy to it

09:58 or actually behind it

10:00 none of them has been indicted let alone

10:03 convicted

10:05 the only person who was indicted

10:09 and falsely convicted of france was

10:10 general flynn

10:12 and even that hasn't been turned around

10:14 notwithstanding that

10:15 all of this exculpatory evidence was

10:17 finally unearthed by sydney powell

10:20 not by flynn's original attorneys but by

10:22 sidney powell came in after the fact

10:25 and discovered what should have been

10:27 discovered at the very beginning of the

10:29 case because that's one of the first

10:30 motions

10:31 that any defense counsel on a criminal

10:32 case filed a so-called brady motion

10:35 to the prosecutors saying turn over all

10:37 the information you have

10:38 or that you're capable of collecting

10:40 which exonerates my client

10:43 or potentially exonerates my client make

10:45 what they call exculpatory evidence

10:48 if you haven't you file turn it over if

10:50 you know where it might be or where you

10:51 can search for it go get it

10:53 that's a constitutional requirement okay

10:56 so we've seen

10:57 four years of the trump administration

10:59 of utterly

11:00 feckless behavior

11:04 by everyone all the way to trump himself

11:06 because trump under the constitution

11:09 has a duty to take care of the laws be

11:10 faithfully executed article 2 section

11:13 all right so he let this all go on so

11:16 now we look at this huge fraud operation

11:19 in the election and i'm not going to say

11:21 that who committed fraud was a huge

11:23 amount of suspicion

11:24 and at this point you don't need proof

11:26 beyond a reasonable doubt

11:28 you don't need clear and convincing

11:29 evidence you don't need a preponderance

11:31 of the evidence

11:32 you simply need reasonable suspicion

11:35 to start an investigation if there isn't

11:37 reasonable suspicion in this case i

11:39 don't know what reasonable suspicion

11:40 might be

11:43 and what's happened well thank goodness

11:45 sidney powell came in on this because

11:48 she's a reasonably hard nosed and

11:50 pragmatic person she's not going to fool

11:52 around

11:53 but the main thrust of everything that's

11:55 been done coming out of the trump

11:56 administration has been

11:58 oh we want to recount we want uh the

12:00 mail-in ballots disqualified if they

12:02 came in

12:03 after the the day of the election and so

12:06 but all of these

12:07 classical civil remedies

12:11 for vote irregularities let's not even

12:13 use the word fraud for irregularities

12:16 because when you start talking about

12:18 fraud you're not talking about criminal

12:20 conduct

12:21 this is not mistake this is intentional

12:25 behavior to deceive and falsify the

12:29 record in one way or another

12:31 preventing ballots from being counted

12:33 counting false ballots manipulating the

12:35 statistical figures that you turn out

12:37 whatever it may be

12:39 so this thing is a criminal case

12:42 and it's amazing to me that it hasn't

12:44 been handled as a criminal case to begin

12:46 with

12:48 because everything that's been done to

12:49 trump in the past was part of a huge

12:51 criminal

12:52 case a huge conspiracy

12:55 actually this thing goes back to title

12:57 18 united states code section 241 242.

13:00 uh if you if someone attempts especially

13:03 on the color of law attempts to deny

13:05 another person or succeed in denying

13:06 another person

13:07 is civil or constitutional rights that's

13:09 a federal criminal

13:11 act 10 years in prison is the sentence

13:14 for a single

13:15 act of that kind so what have they been

13:18 doing to trump for the past four years

13:19 well they've been trying to deny him

13:21 his civil and constitutional rights as

13:23 the person who was elected president

13:25 as the person who was in the office of

13:27 president and now as the person who is

13:30 trying to be

13:30 reelected as president

13:35 they're also trying to deny the the

13:37 united states government

13:40 the legitimate individual the office of

13:41 president

13:43 and that's a conspiracy to defraud the

13:45 united states obviously

13:46 that's title 18 of the united states

13:48 code section 371

13:50 every count there is a five-year

13:53 sentence

13:54 then we have such uh what i would call

13:58 run-of-the-mill criminal charges with

14:02 relating

14:02 with relationship to elections title 52

14:05 of the united states code section

14:06 2-0-5-1-1

14:09 part 2 sub-section 2.

14:12 anyone who knowingly and willfully

14:13 deprives the frauds or attempts to

14:15 private before the residents of the

14:16 state

14:17 of a fair and impartially conducted

14:18 election process and then it goes

14:20 through various

14:21 things that could be done procuring

14:22 false ballots so forth growth from voter

14:25 registration

14:26 i said it shall be fined or imprisoned

14:29 for five years so let's just take one

14:32 vote

14:33 one fraudulent vote that someone under

14:36 color of law because all of these local

14:39 election officials are acting on a color

14:40 of law one fraudulent vote

14:43 would get you just under the these four

14:45 statutes

14:47 would get you 20 years in prison

14:51 well how about a thousand votes that's

14:54 a thousand times twenty twenty thousand

14:56 years in prison

14:58 how about five thousand votes that's a

15:01 hundred thousand years in prison

15:03 you're talking about serious problems

15:05 here when a single individual

15:07 could be sent to prison for a thousand

15:10 years

15:12 based on what is now being bantered

15:14 around us having been done

15:16 and we're talking about all the way down

15:18 to the lowest individual the one who

15:20 who schlepped those boxes of ballots in

15:24 at 3 30 in the morning the pictures show

15:25 these people coming in late late

15:27 first thing in the morning hauling

15:30 boxes of ballots in the vast majority is

15:33 not 100 of the ballots for joe biden

15:34 statistical impossibility

15:36 that fellow right there it's facing just

15:39 under these three statutes 20 years in

15:41 prison

15:43 and that's simply counting one one

15:45 ballot how many ballots are in those

15:46 boxes

15:48 so i looked at this and i said wait a

15:49 minute the way you should have handled

15:50 this

15:52 way before i mean i've been talking

15:53 about this for years

15:55 when trump realized he had this

15:56 conspiracy on his hands and was going on

15:58 across the united states he should have

16:01 gone to title 10 united states code

16:02 section 253

16:04 and began to energize that should have

16:06 begun to energize that

16:08 and he could have done that in dealing

16:10 with these various riots that went on

16:12 throughout the country this year

16:16 he chose not to he chose to let those

16:18 people consent stew in their juice

16:20 and that was probably wise in the long

16:22 run because it was a

16:24 essentially a state and local problem

16:28 but he could have organized under that

16:30 particular statute through

16:32 a secret executive order a secret

16:34 proclamation

16:36 in anticipation of what he knew or

16:38 should have known was coming forward in

16:39 this election because the democrats

16:42 essentially announced it they kept

16:45 talking about

16:45 you know trump was going to produce some

16:47 kind of fraud and so forth well they

16:48 that's projection they knew what they

16:50 were going to do and they were trying to

16:51 get people

16:52 through the media to believe that it was

16:53 trump who was the bad guy here

16:56 and that if biden won so-called won the

16:58 election any

16:59 negative comments from trump about fraud

17:01 would be in fact

17:03 his attempt to overturn the legitimacy

17:06 of the election

17:08 so he failed to set this up to begin

17:10 with

17:12 which makes me wonder what the heck his

17:13 advisors were doing what they were

17:14 smoking while he was

17:16 waiting for the past six months for this

17:17 election to come on board

17:19 well now here he is he has sydney powell

17:22 sydney powell came out the other day and

17:24 said she

17:24 at one point she had 400 000 votes she

17:27 said they could prove were

17:29 ineligible or fraudulent whatever but

17:32 shouldn't have counted

17:34 uh so one hopes that uh she'll put some

17:38 backbone into these people

17:40 but i look at giuliani he was there the

17:42 other day

17:43 talking in philadelphia about how

17:46 the poll watchers from the republican

17:49 party had been kept out of

17:51 the counting rooms at the convention

17:54 center i guess

17:55 i think that was the one where they

17:56 showed pictures of the democrat people

17:59 inside putting up taping

18:02 pizza boxes to the windows

18:06 so that the poll watchers could not look

18:07 in and even from the great distance

18:10 could not see anything as to what was

18:11 going on well if that isn't enough to

18:14 convince a court that there's some real

18:15 hanky-panky going on here i don't know

18:17 what it is

18:18 that they would need so you have

18:22 a sufficient amount of suspicion

18:26 for a criminal case and and what was

18:28 giuliani talking about there standing in

18:30 front of i guess the convention center

18:31 in philadelphia

18:33 he was talking about these poll watchers

18:35 this civil man oh we didn't have our

18:36 poll watchers now we have to go and

18:38 follow these other civil remedies now

18:42 giuliani if you remember his history

18:46 made his reputation legitimately

18:50 as a hard-nosed criminal prosecutor in

18:53 new york

18:55 he went after in a broad sense the mob

18:59 and they were talking about corrupt

19:02 unions corrupt public officials

19:04 money laundering uh bribery extortion

19:08 all of these crimes that are many

19:09 infants very difficult to prove

19:13 you get faults uh bills of laden for

19:16 construction

19:17 materials or or the construction

19:19 materials that are actually provided and

19:20 not the high quality ones that the low

19:22 quality ones and somebody else is raking

19:23 off the difference

19:24 and this is going on multi-millions

19:27 hundreds of millions of dollars worth of

19:29 this kind of

19:30 fraud going on in new york city for

19:33 instance

19:34 and all mob connected and he was going

19:37 in and prosecuting people and being

19:38 successful under the ricoh

19:40 statute racketeering influence and

19:41 corrupt organizations

19:43 act right so very familiar with highly

19:46 complicated

19:49 criminal activity which of course

19:52 vote fraud engineered vote for i'm not

19:54 talking about just one person in one

19:56 county who's stuffing a couple of

19:57 ballots in for his brother-in-law

20:00 we're talking about nationwide

20:01 systematic vote fraud

20:04 all right this is on the level of things

20:06 that giuliani was doing

20:07 in new york because it requires

20:09 statistical evidence financial evidence

20:11 and a lot of other things to really to

20:12 prove this it's not enough to show that

20:13 a couple of dead people voted

20:15 in arizona or nevada wherever the dead

20:17 people were that they found were voting

20:20 so what is giuliani talking about he's

20:22 standing there talking about some civil

20:23 remedies

20:25 that's why i look at this right now and

20:26 i said these people are not realistic in

20:28 the trump

20:29 administration what they're facing here

20:33 is a criminal conspiracy a very high

20:34 level criminal conspiracy

20:36 to run a coup against the united states

20:38 and this is part five

20:39 of the coup strategy that began

20:42 at least the day after trump was elected

20:47 so obviously he's being advised by the

20:49 same

20:49 feckless bunch of nitwits that caused

20:53 him to waste four years

20:54 in not getting a single indictment

20:56 against all those people who were

20:57 running all the other

20:58 and flynn by the way the the first

21:00 person who was framed in this operation

21:03 he's still wondering what's going to

21:04 happen to him because he's in front of

21:05 that judge sullivan

21:08 who's not going to accept the department

21:11 of justice's request

21:12 to have the case thrown out

21:15 they couldn't i mean trump hasn't even

21:17 been able to save flynn

21:20 after sydney powell proved that he had

21:22 been framed

21:25 so i look at this and i say what heaven

21:27 saying is going on how are they going to

21:29 get

21:29 control of this well what happens if

21:30 they don't get control of it in the

21:32 sense that i'm talking about which is

21:34 starting a first class criminal

21:36 investigation and by the way this is

21:37 what trump

21:38 should have said and i told people at

21:39 the time as soon as people started

21:42 talking about fraud in the election he

21:44 should have come out and said just what

21:45 i said here today here are the statutes

21:46 ladies and gents these are just four you

21:48 could probably put

21:49 15 or 20 various statutes criminal taxes

21:51 that would apply one way or the other

21:53 come out on nationwide as a nationwide

21:55 broadcast and say

21:57 this is the problem if there's any voter

21:59 fraud these are the statutes

22:01 that are going to be applied here from

22:02 washington because they're all federal

22:03 statutes

22:05 and we are going to go after every

22:07 single

22:08 person that we find engaged and vote for

22:10 we're going to have an honest election

22:12 or we're going to send a lot of people

22:13 to prison for a long period of time and

22:15 all you folks out the lower levels the

22:17 ballot box stuffers

22:18 the ones who are filling in uh ballots

22:22 illegally the ones who are tampering

22:23 with the mail in

22:25 postmarks all of you all of you

22:27 low-level people

22:29 think about if you want to go to prison

22:31 for the rest of your life

22:33 because somebody above you told you to

22:35 do this

22:37 because we'll certainly find you

22:41 we'll certainly find the people who are

22:42 sitting at the tables where we have

22:44 videotape of them

22:45 filling in balance we'll certainly find

22:48 you

22:49 where we have videotape of you hauling

22:51 the ballots in at 3 o'clock in the

22:52 morning

22:54 and we'll prosecute every last one of

22:57 you

22:58 so you better think about it right now i

23:00 think if he had said that six months

23:02 before this election

23:03 the amount of fraud here would have been

23:05 so small you wouldn't be able to detect

23:06 it

23:08 because any conspiracy of this kind

23:09 depends upon the foot soldiers

23:12 right it's not the mafia don

23:15 it's not don corleone who goes out

23:19 and commits the murder or robs the bank

23:22 or sells the drugs or whatever it may be

23:24 it's those foot soldiers

23:27 at the lowest level of the system that

23:29 make it work

23:31 right so those are the people you have

23:33 to depend upon the ones who are hauling

23:34 ballots in three o'clock in the morning

23:36 the ones who are changing ballots once

23:37 we're

23:37 changing postmarks whatever they did

23:39 ones who are putting up pizza boxes so

23:41 that the poll watchers can't watch

23:42 through the window

23:43 those are the ones and we know who they

23:45 are

23:48 in every case we know who they are we

23:51 may not know who gave him the order to

23:53 do this we may not know

23:54 the chain of command all the way back to

23:56 the top

23:57 but we know the foot soldiers and the

23:59 foot soldiers know who gave them the

24:01 orders they didn't do this spontaneously

24:05 they know what the score is the guy who

24:06 was taping him i remember seeing this

24:07 guy taping up the pizza boxes

24:09 in front of the wind who told him to do

24:11 that

24:13 well somebody did he didn't come up with

24:15 that idea on his own

24:17 they locked the people out and then

24:19 taped the pizza boxes over the window so

24:21 i could look inside

24:22 well now we saw a picture of him it

24:23 should be pretty easy to identify him

24:25 and bring him into an fbi investigation

24:28 if you could trust the fbi

24:29 and say bill here's what you're facing

24:31 oh look at this

24:32 5 000 years in federal prison

24:36 can you tell me who told you to put

24:37 those pizza boxes up

24:40 what do you think the answer will be

24:42 he'll sing like

24:44 luciano pavarotti

24:48 now they haven't they didn't do this

24:49 because obviously this kind of

24:51 investigation is not going on

24:53 they found in some places i think it was

24:54 arizona and new mexico

24:56 that uh you know a number of people i

24:58 think was new mexico because they were

24:59 californians who would escape from

25:00 california and they were

25:01 illegally voting in new mexico so they

25:04 found some number of

25:05 illegal voters of that kind and they

25:07 referred that to the department of

25:09 justice and i think there was some

25:10 others maybe in arizona some other

25:11 states whether it was

25:12 these referrals were made but i'm not

25:15 talking about referrals

25:16 after the fact i'm talking about a

25:19 situation where you would have been in

25:20 before the fact

25:23 which wasn't done so now where we are

25:25 where are we so let's say they go

25:27 and they conduct these attempts to get

25:30 recounts

25:31 attempts to invalidate ballots because

25:33 the

25:34 postmarks were too late or whatever and

25:36 that doesn't work

25:39 well there you go if if all this is done

25:42 before

25:45 the electoral college meets then that's

25:47 the end of the game

25:49 because the states will have certified

25:51 the electors

25:53 and the electors will be the 271 plus

25:56 whatever the number

25:57 turns out to be for biden and biden will

26:00 be

26:01 the titular president now you have all

26:04 sorts of other scenarios

26:06 by which this thing ends up going into

26:08 the into the congress

26:12 that's your other alternative if you

26:14 don't have a sufficient number of

26:16 electors

26:17 then it goes to congress to determine

26:19 who the president is and this happened

26:21 before

26:22 i think it was rutherford b hayes that

26:24 was the great

26:25 uh reconciliation over reconstruction

26:28 and there was the jefferson

26:30 uh aaron burr election so there have

26:32 been there have been examples of this

26:33 happening

26:34 and that is quote unquote due process by

26:36 definition

26:37 because that's provided for in the

26:39 constitution right

26:40 a lot of people are talking about what i

26:42 would call extra due process

26:46 situations where

26:49 the courts invalidate this or that in an

26:52 unprecedented manner and then what

26:54 happens where are we do they do it

26:55 before the electors

26:57 after the electors have met and this is

27:00 all

27:01 extraordinary speculation but the

27:04 interesting thing comes down to well

27:05 what

27:06 what if this is going on the civil side

27:09 and stuff is coming out on the criminal

27:11 side

27:13 then what happens is it conceivable

27:16 that this is conceivable i don't think

27:19 it's conceivable

27:20 i don't think it's conceivable if the

27:21 supreme court can stop the process if

27:23 there is if there is not a

27:25 a valid election of the president

27:29 because of all of these uh what shall i

27:32 say

27:33 elements of confusion then what happens

27:39 well it goes congress right i i don't

27:42 see how you're going to have

27:43 any of these extra constitutional

27:48 uh remedies sold

27:51 because there already is one there's a

27:53 constitutional there's a constitutional

27:54 process

27:55 let's call it a remedy i don't know if

27:56 it'll be a remedy uh because it will not

27:59 necessarily give us the answer that we

28:00 would get if the

28:01 fraud were totally exposed but at this

28:04 stage

28:06 you don't know because a matter of time

28:09 now just give one example is the problem

28:12 with

28:13 pennsylvania yes that the

28:17 the constitution requires that

28:22 election law be promulgated by

28:26 the legislatures of the states and

28:29 that's where the constitution uses

28:31 its legislature right

28:34 so in pennsylvania the legislature had

28:37 provided

28:37 a certain way for mail-in ballots and

28:40 along comes the

28:41 pennsylvania supreme court and it rules

28:45 as a matter of pennsylvania law

28:49 that mail-in ballots were going to be

28:52 allowable notwithstanding that the

28:53 legislature had sent something different

28:57 and it went up to the supreme court the

28:58 supreme court uh tried to dodge it i

29:00 guess

29:01 hoping that maybe the problem would be

29:02 solved by the way the

29:05 the voting turned out so there actually

29:08 already is a case in the supreme court

29:10 they didn't actually decide that case

29:12 finally they said we can't really decide

29:14 it now we'll just

29:15 send this thing back and let's see what

29:17 happens

29:18 so it's not a matter of having to go

29:20 through the whole process of petitioning

29:22 for certiorari once again

29:24 going up through the federal system they

29:26 already have that case in the supreme

29:27 court

29:28 and alito just entered an order the

29:30 other day

29:31 about segregating these questionable

29:33 ballots

29:35 so that they could be a quote unquote

29:37 recount potentially all those ballots

29:39 might be declared

29:40 ineligible so there we go

29:44 and so this pennsylvania lead

29:47 that the media claim for biden could

29:50 evaporate overnight

29:53 and then of course you have challenges

29:54 in what are they michigan and wisconsin

29:58 and also a question about arizona so

30:01 depending upon whom you talk to

30:04 it's possible if the biden lead

30:07 intellectual votes

30:09 evaporates and

30:12 trump gains those electoral votes

30:16 and by the middle of december when the

30:17 electoral college has to meet

30:20 trump has over 271.

30:24 so that's certainly one possibility and

30:25 of course the other possibility is no

30:27 by the time the electoral college meets

30:32 these questionable states somehow or

30:34 other will have

30:35 certified their electors for biden and

30:38 the electoral college will vote for

30:40 biden

30:41 and once again you have a situation in

30:43 pennsylvania as well

30:44 that the legislature is talking about

30:46 passing some new statute

30:48 under their authority to deal with the

30:52 electoral vote

30:53 coming out of pennsylvania which now

30:55 raises another interesting

30:56 constitutional question

30:58 can the legislature negate what appears

31:01 to be

31:04 an electoral vote for a sufficient

31:06 voting

31:07 of the voters in pennsylvania to give

31:09 the number of electoral votes in

31:10 pennsylvania to biden can the

31:11 legislature come in and change that

31:12 result

31:13 okay and these are all on essentially

31:16 unprecedented

31:19 situations so who knows

31:22 what i think is the important part here

31:24 is going back to the nixon kennedy

31:25 business

31:27 it was the greatest mistake in nixon's

31:29 life i think to be made

31:32 was agreeing not to look into

31:36 the fraud in illinois

31:40 and essentially to allow this concept to

31:42 be accepted

31:44 that in a presidential election

31:47 at least you didn't want to open up

31:50 pandora's box with respect to that

31:54 question

31:54 because who know who would know where

31:56 that would lead not recognizing the

31:58 the other side of the coin that's the

32:01 worst pandora's box having a legitimate

32:02 person in

32:03 in the office if that's the worst thing

32:05 coming out of pandora's box

32:07 and legitimate illegitimate purpose in

32:08 the office and especially in this

32:10 situation because let's look at biden

32:12 uh biden certainly has something wrong

32:16 with him physically

32:18 and i say physically because i'm not

32:20 claiming that he's mentally ill but it's

32:23 some physical

32:24 problem which is affect his ability

32:27 mentally and otherwise to perform the

32:29 function of

32:30 a president so who are you really

32:32 getting

32:34 if the biden ticket is accepted as

32:38 having won the where are you getting

32:39 kamala harris

32:42 and where will that lead

32:46 all right well we already know where

32:47 that will lead because they're already

32:50 telling us

32:51 aoc is telling us they're going to drop

32:53 lists

32:54 of enemies of the people any

32:57 prominent person who supported trump is

32:59 going to be on aoc's list for what

33:01 white's down the list won't get a

33:02 christmas present

33:05 or something else is supposed to happen

33:07 well now you're talking about

33:08 neo-bolshevism

33:10 right that's exactly what the vote was

33:11 exactly what the nazis did that's

33:12 exactly what a totalitarian government

33:14 does when it gets into power

33:15 it draws up a list of its enemies and

33:18 then does bad things to them so are we

33:19 hearing this

33:21 so you see this isn't simply a matter of

33:23 the the nixon kennedy problem the

33:25 abstract

33:26 problem of oh my gosh we'll have an

33:28 illegitimate person in his president

33:30 because he didn't really

33:31 win the vote here we're going to have an

33:33 illegitimate person in this president

33:36 whose supporters are all demanding

33:38 bolshevik purges

33:41 and you will see even worse from the

33:43 media

33:44 and you will see worse from the from big

33:46 tech big tech is certainly not going to

33:48 be

33:49 reigned in in terms of its censorship

33:53 and its manipulation by a paris biden

33:57 administration

33:59 it will be exactly the opposite same

34:00 with the big media so you're going to

34:02 have prompter and azvestia

34:04 proved probably being the big media and

34:06 his vestia being

34:08 big tech or vice versa you're gonna have

34:10 the bolshevik system

34:12 of media you're gonna have the bolshevik

34:14 system of retaliation against your

34:16 political enemies

34:18 and you're gonna have some of the

34:19 wildest

34:22 political proposals green new deal

34:24 heaven

34:25 knows what coming out of washington i

34:28 don't care what biden says about his

34:29 being a center

34:30 he's not going to be in control

34:36 in fact i would wager that within eight

34:37 months he'll be out of the office

34:40 either he will resign because in good

34:43 taste he has to realize that he can't

34:45 function properly or they'll remove them

34:47 under the 25th amendment

34:49 as being unable to function properly

34:52 so that's what you're really looking at

34:54 this is extraordinarily serious

34:55 this is much much worse than the kennedy

34:57 problem because at least kennedy when he

34:59 came in

35:01 was not a radical marxist

35:04 in principle or in practice

35:08 and many people said well he was kind of

35:10 left-wing and so well maybe he was but

35:12 he did some

35:13 good things did some bad things he would

35:14 have kept us out of the vietnam conflict

35:17 that was for sure he wanted to back out

35:18 of that

35:20 he gained the test ban treaty with

35:22 khrushchev atomic test ban treaty

35:25 which is a valuable thing so you can't

35:28 say kennedy was

35:29 100 a disaster although nixon would have

35:32 been 100 percent

35:33 better but you look at this situation

35:36 now

35:37 and once again going back to nixon

35:38 kennedy in certain places

35:43 the illegitimacy of the illinois vote

35:46 was broadcast it was something that was

35:49 there but it wasn't made a big deal of

35:52 this thing is in your face

35:56 vote fraud and if this goes through

35:59 then the whole principle will be

36:01 established that well

36:03 this is a government that can be

36:04 obtained by fraud

36:07 that's one of the things we were really

36:08 concerned about in addition to all the

36:10 direct

36:11 effects and all the policy effects that

36:14 can flow from this

36:15 is the degradation of the american

36:19 people and our republic to third world

36:22 status the malaise that would fall upon

36:24 us if we knew that our not only

36:25 our votes really don't matter but that

36:27 we are just beholden to

36:28 the powers that be people who have

36:30 claimed that all along the way have been

36:32 considered

36:32 on the pessimistic side but if that's

36:35 just as you said in your face

36:37 and it's there for us all to see then

36:38 how can we how can we maintain

36:40 any semblance of leadership in the

36:42 international community or civil society

36:44 domestically

36:45 yeah then what is the recourse because

36:46 you mentioned the beginning due process

36:49 if the systems of due process which

36:52 already

36:53 exists can be thwarted

36:57 not just circumvented because normal

36:59 vote for the circumvention is done

37:01 secretly

37:01 and you really are supposed to never

37:03 find out about it right

37:06 this is as i said before in your face

37:08 there's no they predicted this was going

37:10 to happen

37:12 they did it and then i was saying too

37:14 bad for you

37:15 we got away with it now what is the due

37:18 process

37:19 system for dealing with that when the

37:21 people who got away with it

37:23 then end up in control of the highest

37:26 offices in the country

37:28 because certainly they're not going to

37:29 throw themselves out are they

37:32 that's what becomes fascinating about

37:34 this because of course there is no

37:36 constitutional method specified for

37:39 throwing these people out

37:40 if they get away with fraud and nobody

37:42 the judiciary

37:44 the congress the state legislatures if

37:46 they are all

37:47 thwarted in overturning this criminal

37:50 result

37:51 there is no due process provision in the

37:53 constitution for dealing with it

37:56 there's due process provision in the

37:57 declaration of independence for dealing

37:59 with it

38:01 but see we go to a different we go to a

38:03 different level of law here

38:06 becomes a very dicey situation and

38:09 that's why you look at the democratic

38:10 party you say to themselves don't they

38:11 realize what they've done

38:14 is it that much in their interests short

38:18 term because most of these people think

38:19 only in the short term right

38:20 is it really that much in their interest

38:22 in the short term to create this

38:24 situation

38:26 in which all constitutional bets are now

38:29 off where do those

38:30 situations generally lead they lead to

38:33 some kind of political

38:34 social slash economic crisis in which

38:37 there is a real honest-to-god coup by

38:41 the military the military steps in to

38:44 restore order

38:45 usually temporarily right that's always

38:47 their story but just do it for a while

38:50 and then they never leave because

38:53 they're always at least in the

38:54 background

38:55 there's always a threat coming out of

38:57 whatever it is defense establishment

39:00 military establishment south american

39:02 countries are this way all the time

39:03 sure they put in their military comes in

39:05 they back off out they put in some

39:07 uh figurehead civilian but they're

39:10 always lurking in the shadows and if

39:12 that's

39:12 civilian or others in his administration

39:14 get too far out of line

39:15 the military can always step back in on

39:17 the president well we did it before

39:20 and that's that's the roman republic

39:24 right that was solo that was marius that

39:26 was caesar that was pompey

39:29 go read the history of the fall of the

39:30 roman republic

39:35 of course in modern times there are many

39:38 more examples but the classical world

39:39 gives you the classic example

39:42 and that's the danger here these people

39:44 have played with fire

39:46 in a very uh well dangerous idea of the

39:48 words beyond dangerous

39:50 in manner because they've undercut the

39:53 legitimacy of the entire bloody system

39:57 so where does this lead well i said it's

40:00 going to lead to

40:03 going to a different level of law up or

40:06 down

40:07 okay can go down to venezuela

40:10 you know south american dictatorships

40:12 you know under

40:13 you know the cloak of

40:17 elections they've got a president maduro

40:19 is actually a dictator

40:21 right or the other alternative which is

40:24 the declaration of independent

40:25 situations overturning of the whole damn

40:27 system

40:30 and re-establishment of something that

40:33 approaches

40:34 legitimacy difficulty in this country

40:36 look at how many people actually voted

40:38 for biden

40:41 we don't know percentage i don't know i

40:43 don't know but probably

40:45 it's probably about the same who voted

40:46 for obama

40:48 for two times maybe the same as voted

40:51 the queen let's give it let's give it

40:52 that

40:52 that high so it's it's the middle 40s

40:55 for sure they're claiming he has over 50

40:58 percent right but this is the middle

41:00 let's give him the middle 40s

41:01 somewhere 40 of the population of this

41:03 country is willing to accept an

41:05 individual

41:06 who gained office probably maybe

41:09 by fraud

41:12 is that a governable society

41:18 with 40 percent of the population

41:19 because they are so ideologically driven

41:22 or because they are so stupid would

41:25 actually

41:26 accept knowingly accept

41:29 someone for the highest office in the

41:30 country who obtain that office through

41:32 fraud

41:33 or so dependent on handouts well

41:36 whatever it is

41:37 okay they could have been bribed they

41:38 could they could simply be ignorant they

41:40 could be ideologically driven whatever

41:41 it is

41:42 here's this group that will reproduce

41:44 this system

41:45 again and again and again this situation

41:48 they can depend upon 40-something

41:50 percent of the population

41:52 to quote-unquote vote whatever vote

41:54 means

41:55 to vote for this candidate with the

41:58 expectation oh yeah he'll win because

42:00 the other five percent he needs to get

42:01 by fraud

42:03 which makes the whole thing fraudulent

42:04 right doesn't make the first 40

42:07 valid the whole thing is fraudulent so

42:10 you

42:10 you have a society that is almost split

42:12 50 50.

42:16 on the basis of

42:19 the willingness to accept a fraudulent

42:23 government

42:24 well if you have a fraudulent government

42:26 do you have law

42:29 i mean is there any law is there any

42:31 legal theory

42:32 in western history that says yes fraud

42:35 can be law

42:39 or law is fraud or fraud is law however

42:42 you want to express it

42:44 this is contradiction in terms right

42:46 right

42:48 so here's the this is the problem i look

42:49 at this and i say my god

42:52 this thing is so serious the long-term

42:55 consequences

42:56 maybe it won't happen the first year of

42:57 the camel harris administration

43:00 may take him two or three years to

43:01 really do something bad i don't know

43:04 but the principle has now been set and

43:06 so i look at these trump people

43:07 and i say what in heaven's name are you

43:10 doing this has nothing to do ultimately

43:13 with trump

43:15 right it has to do with the future of

43:16 this country they've wasted four years

43:19 they've they've allowed it to be

43:20 accepted

43:22 by the vast majority of the people that

43:25 hidden elements within

43:26 the bureaucracy doj fbi

43:30 cia whatever hidden elements within the

43:33 bureaucracy

43:34 could thwart the elected president of

43:37 the united states and attempt to destroy

43:38 him and nothing

43:40 could be done about it so we've already

43:42 accepted that proposition

43:45 right that any president comes in and

43:47 he's and he's subject to

43:48 deep state manipulation and he can do

43:50 nothing about it

43:52 some voices have been all along and

43:54 prior even to this

43:56 most recent administration that when you

43:57 see this

43:59 baffling incompetency or unexplicable

44:02 actions on the part of those in charge

44:03 that does not seem to be in the best

44:04 interest of the people or the country

44:06 people say well we're just really

44:07 unfortunate that they're that they don't

44:08 understand what to do

44:09 others say no it reveals that things

44:11 have gone much farther

44:13 than is publicly admitted and this is

44:15 actually just controlled opposition

44:16 that there's a higher level scheme at

44:18 play and that this team you thought was

44:21 all on your side that's acting

44:22 incompetent was never actually supposed

44:24 to be capable in the first place

44:27 yeah that's even that's that's the even

44:28 worse situation situation i had in mind

44:30 was a simple one of

44:31 here's this thing going on and it turns

44:33 out that that mr trump was simply

44:35 incompetent to deal with it right he

44:38 brought a bunch of people in with him

44:39 who were incompetent to deal with it oh

44:41 they were

44:41 appeasers and they didn't want to really

44:43 rock the boat they didn't want to create

44:44 a real

44:45 constitutional crisis

44:48 right along the lines of what nixon did

44:52 i can understand nixon but i can't

44:54 understand this i can't

44:55 i mean nixon would never have accepted

44:58 this situation

44:59 what's going on for the past four years

45:02 but you know it's the progression first

45:03 it was well some bureaucrats are going

45:05 to stand up against trump

45:07 this deep state it's hard to get them

45:08 out because you know they're appointed

45:10 they're appointed they're not elected

45:12 they're professionals bureaucrats blah

45:14 blah blah have all these excuses for

45:15 that

45:16 right and so he's he's doing other

45:18 things to get around them

45:20 and he never was successful but then

45:22 they go to the next step and they say oh

45:23 well by the way

45:24 we have enough power through fraud to

45:27 put some figurehead in as the president

45:31 you'll so you'll have a figurehead

45:32 president and you'll have the deep state

45:34 running the agencies

45:36 and we don't of course know who's behind

45:37 all of these people pulling the strings

45:39 but then you know

45:40 we can accept that something like that

45:41 is going on and they come right out and

45:43 do it

45:48 that's why i find the amazing part of

45:50 all of this is

45:51 that on the other side on on trump's

45:53 side

45:55 he never came out and made the what i

45:56 would call the fireside chat approach of

45:58 franklin roosevelt

46:00 remember franklin roosevelt when he came

46:02 in he had

46:03 something along the lines of the worst

46:05 possible world facing him there was a

46:07 depression going there just been a huge

46:09 bank collapse he was elected 1932 it was

46:13 a bank collapse the end of 31

46:15 going into 32 went into 33 etc and

46:17 people with the pictures you see people

46:19 lining up around the block

46:20 trying to get their deposits out of

46:22 these banks which are failing

46:24 and so you had bank closures bank

46:26 holidays they call them in those days

46:28 and what was the first thing that

46:30 roosevelt did

46:32 he held you know had he had a fight what

46:35 he called the fireside chat this was the

46:36 beginning of that process

46:38 where he went on nationwide radio didn't

46:40 have television

46:42 and he explained to the people in this

46:44 first fireside chat

46:46 why he was supporting the closing of the

46:48 banks

46:51 and he told them oh ladies and gentlemen

46:53 you have to realize when you

46:54 deposit money in the bank the bank

46:56 doesn't put that money in the shoe box

46:58 and keep in the back room put in the

46:59 safeway

47:00 they loan that money out to other people

47:03 and the returns from that loan you know

47:05 that's how they're paying you

47:08 so you have to wait the banks have

47:11 loaned this money out

47:12 and they need to get it back you if you

47:14 you can't take all your deposits out of

47:16 the banks they don't actually have the

47:17 money

47:18 explain to them how fractional reserve

47:20 banking actually worked

47:23 okay it was a crisis that was a theory

47:25 of this this was this crisis

47:27 he was taking executive control getting

47:30 this crisis under

47:32 control through legislation

47:35 through proclamations various things he

47:37 did which were considered extraordinary

47:40 at the time

47:41 but the first thing he did was he went

47:43 to the american people and explained

47:45 why he was doing this

47:50 now he didn't he didn't exfoliate the

47:52 bankers for being criminal conspirators

47:53 he said no this is one of those things

47:55 that happens every now and then

47:56 in economy and banking we've had a lot

47:58 of instances of

48:00 major bank fail failures in this country

48:03 over the years in the 1800s early 1900s

48:06 this was just another one

48:08 the biggest of all but it was still just

48:10 another one but now

48:12 trump is in the interesting position of

48:14 well this is not just a normal

48:16 phenomenon that's happened here

48:18 this is the most extraordinary series of

48:20 events

48:21 over a four-year period in the history

48:22 of the united states

48:25 and at no time has he ever done what

48:28 franklin roosevelt did

48:32 and at no time has he ever come forward

48:33 and said you know the constitution

48:36 empowers me impose a duty on me to take

48:38 care of the laws be faithfully executed

48:41 and in the final analysis i am not

48:43 required to depend upon

48:44 bill barr or jeff sessions

48:49 or any of these people in the fbi the

48:51 doj

48:52 or the cia or whatever

48:56 i have a constitutional authority here

49:00 and i'm going to exercise it

49:03 and if i have to do that through these

49:05 extraordinary statutes which give me

49:07 these

49:07 special powers under so-called emergency

49:11 situation

49:11 that's what i'm going to do

49:15 and that's what i'm wondering now uh if

49:17 if all of this turns out to be a real

49:19 chaotic situation in which

49:20 somehow the electoral college doesn't or

49:23 can't function or it's challenged

49:26 the result of electoral college is

49:28 challenged

49:29 and then the question as well what will

49:31 happen in january when they're

49:32 supposedly going to have

49:34 the inauguration before that will

49:36 congress have to step in will congress

49:38 claim to step in

49:40 to do something so you have you have

49:41 rival interpretations

49:44 one interpretation says well the

49:46 electoral college actually voted for

49:47 biden because there were enough states

49:49 that certified this and other

49:50 person no the electoral college was

49:53 illegitimate what they did

49:55 uh you know

49:58 congress's action chicago shouldn't have

50:00 taken the action it took because the

50:01 electoral college

50:02 did what it did legitimately or vice

50:05 versa you know

50:06 so you have the permutations and

50:07 combinations of problems

50:11 and then of course there's the supreme

50:13 court

50:14 in this what are they going to do

50:19 what if you have not clear-cut

50:21 majorities

50:24 but you have a case where it's a

50:25 plurality

50:28 and then they also have someone for the

50:30 concurring opinion on a different a

50:31 different theory

50:33 and you talk to lawyers about what those

50:35 cases mean

50:38 when you don't have a a five to four

50:41 but it's four one and four

50:44 or four one and one and three or

50:46 whatever it is some some combination

50:48 permutation combination it doesn't work

50:50 out to an actual majority what does that

50:51 mean

50:53 that's why i find that this is one of

50:55 those situations somebody has to grasp

50:56 that this is alexander the great

50:58 and the gordian knot

51:02 right you can't be sitting in front of

51:04 the gordy knot trying to take it

51:06 apart strand by strand because no one

51:09 had ever accomplished that supposedly it

51:10 was

51:11 it couldn't have become it couldn't be

51:12 accomplished it was alexander the great

51:13 deal he comes along with the sword and

51:14 just cuts it

51:17 and that's what trump really needs to do

51:18 at this stage i think that the due

51:20 process element here that's of most

51:21 consequence is

51:23 were these elections in in the various

51:26 key states at least

51:28 conducted in a fraudulent manner

51:29 criminally fraudulent manner

51:31 and you've got to prove that and he's

51:34 got to prove that

51:35 at least to the level where he could

51:36 convince

51:38 the supreme court to enter some kind of

51:41 peremptory relief injunction

51:46 mandamus prohibition these various

51:49 extraordinary remedies

51:51 that can stop a process

51:55 so there will be time to clear up

51:57 whatever the

51:58 controversial aspects of it are

52:02 well he's running out of time they're

52:05 all running out of time because when do

52:06 you like

52:06 when does the electoral college meet in

52:08 december right yep

52:10 okay we've got a month to figure this

52:13 all out

52:14 and meanwhile the states are going to be

52:16 vying internally for

52:18 certification of the electors

52:22 so it's got 30 days let's just say to be

52:25 rough

52:26 30 days to get this sorted out in some

52:29 way or

52:29 shape or form

52:32 that's the problem and what and the

52:34 thing is what he'll never sort out

52:36 unless

52:37 unless there are criminal investigations

52:41 and people not just a guy hauling the

52:44 the ballots in at 3 30 in the morning

52:46 he certainly goes to jail but the ones

52:50 higher up as high up as you can go

52:52 until that is done this cloud is going

52:54 to hang over the country indefinitely

52:57 no one is going to believe in the

52:58 integrity of elections

53:01 if they could get away with this

53:04 and then that undercuts what

53:08 all of the uh to use

53:11 to use the cultural marxist proposition

53:14 that undercuts all

53:15 cultural optimism right

53:19 oh my god we're in a situation where the

53:21 future is dark and

53:23 dire and dim and so forth and so on and

53:26 you know that was the whole point of the

53:27 cultural marxist

53:29 theory of attacking society

53:33 they said mark said

53:38 in the sequence of historical

53:39 determinism you would get to the point

53:41 where the two con

53:42 conflicting classes were the proletariat

53:44 the bourgeoisie the workers and the

53:46 employers the capitalists and

53:49 they would struggle for control the

53:51 proletariat would win it would establish

53:53 its own dictatorship it would eliminate

53:54 the bourgeoisie and we would be in

53:57 the final stage of history after which

53:59 nothing would ever change we would have

54:00 socialism slash communism and everything

54:02 would be

54:03 perfect okay and what happened

54:06 well the proletariat instead of

54:08 following the marxist pattern of

54:10 historical determinism

54:12 said well we can work within the

54:14 political process

54:15 we can obtain what they call labor

54:18 legislation

54:20 workplace safety limitation of hours

54:23 minimum wages

54:25 overtime pay and we can also engage in

54:28 collective bargaining through

54:30 unions and so forth all sorts of things

54:31 we can do that we don't need to turn to

54:34 violent revolution and so the marxists

54:37 now suddenly

54:38 they were out of business because the

54:40 proletariat which was supposedly

54:42 the force that was going to lead to

54:43 communism has now turned on us

54:46 become part of the bourgeoisie this is

54:48 no good so they came up with a cultural

54:50 marxist theory gramski

54:51 antonio gramsci the italian communist

54:53 was the one was always quoted for the

54:55 phrase the long march through the

54:56 institutions

54:58 and the communists realized what we have

54:59 to do is to get our people into all of

55:02 these institutions

55:04 economic political social cultural

55:07 and use our influence

55:10 to criticize bourgeois society

55:15 modern western bourgeois society

55:19 in every possible way so that eventually

55:22 people come to look at their own

55:24 culture their own economy their own

55:25 political system with disdain and hatred

55:30 we want to it was billy munsenberger was

55:33 the head of the common turn

55:35 who said our goal is to make western

55:38 civilization stink

55:41 turn people against their own cultures

55:44 in every way possible so that what would

55:47 happen instead of being optimistic about

55:49 the future

55:49 people would become extraordinarily

55:51 pessimistic about the future we're

55:52 living in a society that can't function

55:54 properly

55:54 this is terrible what what what must we

55:57 need to do

55:57 we must need to turn to marxism this was

55:59 the theory

56:02 a pretty stupid theory but this was the

56:05 theory

56:06 and the interesting point is they've

56:07 succeeded

56:12 and this election this fraudulent

56:14 election is one

56:15 example of doing precisely that

56:19 whether this was the intention of the

56:20 democratic party the republican party or

56:21 the media whatever

56:22 this is the result that they have now

56:25 put us in a position

56:27 where we're going to look at the

56:28 electoral process with despondency

56:31 this doesn't work anymore because it's

56:33 all fraudulent and there's no way we can

56:35 know that it's fraudulent because the

56:36 media is covering up

56:37 and all these you know you put all these

56:38 things together and the average person

56:40 looks at shrugs and shoulders

56:41 and he goes into a kind of cultural

56:43 despair

56:46 will he then turn to marxism i don't

56:48 know he'll turn to whatever is given to

56:49 him

56:50 which will probably be something along

56:51 the line of marxism because that's

56:54 the ideology that is apparently in

56:56 control of the universities apparently

56:57 it's in control of the media apparently

56:59 to control the democratic party

57:01 and a large segment of the republican

57:02 party too i would say they don't

57:04 understand it but that's what they are

57:06 and so the thing has worked out

57:07 beautifully

57:11 and this is the final nail in the coffin

57:12 when you look at the legal system

57:14 and you say the legal system cannot be

57:16 run legally

57:18 or the other side of the coin the legal

57:20 system can be run through fraud which is

57:22 the same way of saying that it can't be

57:23 run legally

57:24 it can be run through fraud

57:28 you think a legal legal uh axam fraud

57:31 vitiates all contracts

57:34 right if fraud is involved you throw it

57:38 out

57:40 if some of your evidence is fraudulent

57:42 in a case

57:44 the judge can instruct the jury that the

57:46 jury doesn't have to believe any of your

57:47 evidence

57:49 because you wouldn't have put up

57:50 fraudulent evidence if you believe that

57:52 any of your evidence was any good

57:54 that's called exfoliation of evidence

57:56 doctrine okay

57:58 so you look at the the legal system in

58:00 this country looks upon fraud and says

58:01 well fraud is the one thing

58:02 that undermines everything

58:06 if fraud is involved forget it so here

58:08 we now have a

58:10 electoral system which apparently uh

58:12 they're going to get away with it

58:16 unless unless what unless and i

58:19 shouldn't say trump is not trump it's

58:21 the legal system itself it's trump it's

58:22 the department of justice it's the

58:24 courts it's the state legislatures

58:25 unless they stand up and say no we will

58:27 not tolerate fraud in this we're going

58:29 to

58:29 we're going to root this out and if that

58:32 means

58:33 that the top honchos in both parties

58:36 have to go to jail well that's what it

58:38 means

58:43 now i have all i'm saying i haven't seen

58:45 that determination

58:46 coming out of it certainly not coming

58:47 out of the democratic party because

58:49 they're very happy with the vote count

58:50 just the way it is

58:51 but certainly not coming out of the

58:54 republican party

58:55 uh the trump people other than maybe

58:59 sydney powell who came out the other

59:01 night and said

59:02 she knew of 400 000 votes that were

59:04 highly questionable yes

59:06 but you look at sydney powell sydney

59:08 powell came in

59:10 as a tailor excuse me at the tail end of

59:12 the

59:13 flynn debacle

59:16 and did what any good lawyer should have

59:18 done and was successful and

59:21 pulled out all this evidence that had

59:22 been withheld

59:24 where is flynn has he been exonerated is

59:28 he uh

59:29 you know walking free with his head held

59:32 up high

59:32 ready to sue all these people in the doj

59:34 who ran this frame up against him

59:37 no he's still being persecuted by

59:38 sullivan the judge

59:42

right even with sidney powell proving

59:45

the existence of the frame up the victim

59:48

is still being persecuted

59:52

now you wonder did the cultural marxist

59:55

succeed and i would say yeah pretty much

59:56

so

59:57

because i look at this and i say if i

59:58

didn't have

60:00

you know a stubborn streak in me maybe i

60:02

would despair personally i would expect

60:03

that nothing can be done here this is

60:05

impossible we've lost it's gone

60:07

terminal illness you mentioned terminal

60:09

illness the elizabeth kubler-ross grief

60:12

cycle of five stages of death and dying

60:14

and a lot of what you've been saying is

60:15

making me think are we in the denial

60:17

phase still

60:18

certainly some people are in the anger

60:19

phase some people are in the bargaining

60:20

phase but you're

60:21

talking about the goal is to get us the

60:23

depression phase and then the acceptance

60:25

phase and just go yeah i guess i'll just

60:26

go with

60:27

go with what these powers are that be

60:28

hope i get something some gravy out of

60:30

it

60:32

yeah well that's that's basically it

60:34

it's a simple-minded psychological

60:36

assessment

60:37

if you drive people to a certain point

60:40

and they'll shrug their shoulders and

60:41

say yeah dude to me whatever you want to

60:42

do

60:43

i'm not going to resist it anymore i'm

60:46

sorry going to accept it

60:48

right and the communists understood this

60:51

and

60:52

how do you do it will you undermine the

60:53

faith that the people may have

60:55

in the stability of the systems that

60:58

exist

61:00

and you show there's no way out within

61:03

these systems

61:04

that's the important but there's no way

61:05

out within these systems you have to opt

61:07

for some other system that we

61:09

are going to give you we the manipulator

61:10

is going to present to you it's not

61:12

enough for us to criticize and hopefully

61:13

you won't remember

61:14

the declaration of independence option

61:16

which you had already proven

61:17

well that's the next stage see that was

61:20

the stage of the founding fathers

61:22

and they say this they say well in the

61:24

declaration they say well we petitioned

61:27

right we went to the king we went to his

61:30

ministers

61:30

we even went to the british people

61:33

themselves

61:36

in an attempt to get them to see things

61:38

from our perspective

61:41

and to maintain what we believe with the

61:43

rights of englishmen here in the

61:44

colonies which we would

61:46

believe we were being deprived of and

61:49

all of those petitions

61:50

failed that is within the british system

61:56

the british legal system there was no

61:58

recourse after a certain point

62:02

all right if the king and his ministers

62:03

and even the average britain

62:06

weren't going to pay any attention and

62:08

quite the opposite they're going to send

62:09

hessians over

62:11

to conquer the colonies

62:14

then what was the alternative where you

62:15

stepped outside of that system that was

62:17

the declaration of independence

62:20

right the old system didn't work and

62:22

we're going to declare independence from

62:23

that system set up our own system

62:26

which supposedly will be better although

62:29

one wonders now

62:30

looking at what we're seeing today is it

62:33

hasn't really turned out that way but

62:34

any event

62:35

that was a theory so if the

62:36

constitutional system

62:38

is essentially destroyed as a result of

62:41

these practical steps

62:44

because underlying the whole bloody

62:46

system is the legitimacy of the

62:47

elections

62:49

if you have a bunch of usurpers

62:52

ineligible individuals in office then

62:55

what's going on

62:57

well they can't exercise these powers

62:59

but they're in office exercising these

63:01

powers so you have this contradiction

63:05

so what does one do well either you

63:07

correct it within the system

63:09

but you can't correct it within the

63:11

system if the highest office is in the

63:12

land and all the bureaucracy is

63:14

controlled by the usurpers

63:16

you have to step outside of the system

63:18

you go to the declaration of

63:19

independence situation as i like to call

63:21

it

63:22

we've done everything we could do within

63:24

this system they've destroyed

63:26

our ability to control through with this

63:30

system

63:31

well then we have to step outside of the

63:33

system and then all bets are off who

63:36

knows what comes out of that

63:40

i mean we had one example was of course

63:43

the founding

63:44

fathers and what happened with them but

63:46

there's no guarantee

63:47

that that same thing would happen again

63:49

that you'd go from a bad system to a

63:51

better system

63:53

you go from a bad system to a worse

63:54

system that's also possible

63:58

that's why i said the democrat the

64:00

democratic party people

64:02

who have this very very short term this

64:05

is childishness this is like the

64:06

two-year-old

64:08

who's only interested in what's

64:09

happening in the next five seconds in

64:10

his life

64:12

because he has no long-term vision and

64:15

that's what these people are doing

64:18

they have a very very short-term vision

64:19

leave aside the ones who may

64:21

be really nefarious individuals

64:25

the average politician in this situation

64:27

very short oh we got to win this

64:28

election in any way possible

64:31

even if that results in uh you know

64:33

tainting the legislature tainting the

64:35

courts whatever

64:36

changing electoral officials we've got

64:39

to win this election

64:40

they don't think about what the

64:41

consequence is it's the two-year-old

64:43

doesn't think about the consequence

64:45

day after tomorrow or even maybe this

64:48

evening

64:50

if he drinks a whole bottle of cough

64:51

syrup

64:53

which has you know a nice cherry flavor

64:55

[Music]

64:58

and so that's what we have we have a

64:59

bunch of two-year-olds in control of the

65:00

situation

65:01

now by happenstance and they're working

65:04

their will

65:06

and father the father figure who is

65:08

trump

65:10

apparently is completely feckless

65:14

and his advisers don't want don't want

65:16

to bite the bullet they don't want to

65:18

come face to face with the guardian not

65:20

and say well we have to cut this thing

65:24

because if we don't get control of it

65:26

now

65:27

then we've lost control of the whole

65:29

system for the future no one is going to

65:31

be able to

65:32

to regain control within this system now

65:35

i would just like someone to come

65:36

forward

65:36

and give me an example of what says some

65:38

of these south american democracies or

65:40

even european

65:42

countries where they've once gone to

65:47

a violent change of the government or a

65:50

fraudulent change of the government

65:52

yes and they have then reverted to a

65:54

legitimate government without going

65:56

through some

65:57

horrendous crisis maybe a civil war

66:00

maybe an international war whatever

66:05

and of course we have you know terrific

66:08

potential conflict with

66:09

china internationally

66:13

and now they've elected someone who may

66:15

be

66:17

compromised by having taken chinese

66:20

money in his family

66:23

or who knows what else i mean we know

66:24

about the chinese money

66:26

what else was said there

66:30

and this is the guy you're going to put

66:31

in as president because of your

66:32

short-term

66:33

view as a member of the democratic party

66:35

that you want the democratic party to be

66:36

in control

66:39

you want to put in a guy who has uh

66:41

perhaps have alzheimer's or some other

66:43

mental condition

66:44

and he has any compromise because of

66:47

connections with chinese money

66:52

and you can put him in as president just

66:54

so that you can get trump out

66:57

you know destroy the country that's

66:59

where the word treason comes into play

67:01

here right

67:03

giving comfort aid and comfort to the

67:06

enemies of the united states

67:11

i mean i'm not you know i'm not looking

67:13

to tell well the democratic party's

67:14

engaged in treason i'm just looking at

67:16

the long-term

67:17

consequence of this thing you start

67:19

thinking through what

67:20

what about this what about this what

67:22

about this what about this in a chain of

67:26

events

67:28

they say oh yeah there are all of these

67:31

very dire possibilities

67:34

and that's why when you see a 12 a

67:35

two-year-old child with a bottle of

67:37

cough syrup cough syrup

67:38

what do you do take it away you go over

67:41

and you

67:42

yank the cough syrup out of his hand

67:44

before he drinks it

67:46

you don't say well billy go ahead and

67:47

drink and see how well you feel tomorrow

67:49

morning

67:52

as if that would be a lesson to a

67:53

two-year-old child they could make the

67:54

connection logical connection

67:56

right no you go over and take the cost

67:58

here if i understand you impose

67:59

a kind of legal system on him right the

68:03

superior

68:04

figure in the political process within

68:06

the home

68:08

a search is authority and so who is the

68:12

superior in the political process here

68:13

under these circumstances what is trump

68:15

himself

68:17

all right article two section three take

68:19

care of i'll take care the laws be

68:21

faithfully executed

68:22

are the laws being faithfully executed

68:24

just from the federal

68:26

level because the federal level of

68:28

course is the only one he can actually

68:29

control

68:30

and the answer is well no we've got all

68:33

of these

68:34

federal criminal problems and if you

68:37

look at some of these state problems i

68:39

mean look at

68:40

the state problem in pennsylvania where

68:41

the legislature said one thing about

68:44

mail-in ballots

68:45

and the supreme court of pennsylvania

68:49

claimed the authority to say the

68:50

opposite

68:52

well that's a violation of the u.s

68:53

constitution because u.s constitution

68:54

puts into the hands of the legislatures

68:56

of the states not the courts

68:59

the authority within the electoral

69:02

process

69:03

so even that he could step in on

69:07

he doesn't have to wait for the supreme

69:08

court of the united states

69:11

you tell me that he can't directly

69:13

enforce the constitution under a number

69:14

of statutes

69:16

the couple that i mentioned earlier in

69:18

this discussion

69:20

he hasn't and that's what's amazing

69:22

because that's what rosa franklin

69:23

roosevelt did

69:25

quite obviously

69:28

he stepped forward in the earliest days

69:30

of his administration

69:33

especially dealing with the banking

69:34

problem and he said oh i have this

69:37

authority there's a statute that says so

69:39

and i have the authority to execute the

69:40

laws and then here's a proclamation that

69:42

i'm writing

69:43

to close the banks

69:47

when you look at that you say like yeah

69:49

that seemed to be a rather radical thing

69:51

for

69:52

him to do but he had the authority to do

69:54

at least no one has ever said he didn't

69:55

have the authority to do it

69:58

in principle now is is that more radical

70:01

is a banking crisis

70:03

which we eventually got over an economic

70:06

crisis

70:07

a more radical situation than an

70:10

electoral fraud

70:13

that destroys the legitimacy of the

70:15

entire bloody government

70:22

so one would think that some people in

70:24

the trump administration would

70:26

remember franklin roosevelt

70:29

he was elected president four times as i

70:31

recall he wasn't a minor figure in

70:33

american history

70:34

but apparently not they haven't thought

70:36

about that

70:40

and so here we are and i i look at this

70:42

and say oh my god

70:44

this is like the last days of the roman

70:45

republic if it goes in one direction if

70:47

it goes in the other direction

70:49

we may fester on for a while we'll have

70:50

to see but unless it goes in the third

70:52

direction

70:55

of stamping this out

70:58

and maybe i shouldn't say something

70:59

you're not going to stamp without the

71:00

evil in the hearts of men is always

71:02

there

71:02

but this particular instance making an

71:04

example

71:08

then that's the end but they get away

71:11

with this and get away with anything

71:13

every election will be like this

71:16

and then that's the end of the

71:17

constitutional system has nothing to do

71:18

with overthrow of the constitution

71:20

it has to do with you know usurpation

71:26

constitution's still there but it's a

71:28

you know it's a fig leaf covering up

71:30

this

71:32

criminal conduct

71:35

and then half of it and to get back to

71:36

my other point that half of the american

71:37

people

71:38

do not accept this all the people who

71:41

voted for trump i think

71:43

clearly do not accept this so now you've

71:46

divided the country

71:47

in a in a manner that is irreconcilable

71:54

where does that lead

71:59

some say the civil war well uh yeah

72:02

but the the war that occurred in 1861

72:05

was possible because there was a clear

72:07

geographical division

72:09

there were the slave states and then

72:12

there were the non-slave states and then

72:14

there were the border states some went

72:15

one way some went the other

72:17

so you could see that what would it be

72:20

today i mean that these divisions are

72:24

within cities counties

72:27

towns families yes

72:30

what would it look like i think a

72:32

virginia is a kind of a classic example

72:33

of this because you can actually draw

72:34

some geographical lines

72:37

if you draw a line down from dc

72:40

along the coast of of virginia the

72:43

atlantic coast of virginia

72:45

you pick up most of the heavily

72:48

democratic areas

72:51

all of the bedroom communities outside

72:53

of dc come down to richmond which is the

72:55

capital go down towards

72:57

the big naval bases hampton roads and

73:00

so forth and then there's the rest of

73:03

virginia

73:05

and so you have this little blue strip

73:07

that runs down the coast and then you

73:09

have the rest of virginia which is all

73:10

red

73:10

other than a few cities you'll have

73:13

roanoke and a couple of other cities

73:14

small areas

73:15

that are urban democrat centers

73:19

so you could imagine dividing virginia

73:21

up

73:23

you'd have eastern virginia

73:27

and then you'd have middle virginia and

73:28

then we have of course west virginia

73:30

which already exists

73:32

and there could be a couple of these

73:33

little enclaves that would be connected

73:35

to eastern virginia

73:37

that would be in the center of middle

73:39

virginia so yeah i can see that

73:42

because outside of the blue strip

73:46

to the south of washington dc virginia

73:48

was very solidly in the other camp

73:52

but i don't know about other states and

73:54

certainly that isn't true of

73:58

marylander

74:00

kentucky tennessee i would divide those

74:04

geographically i have no idea that

74:06

that's even conceivable so we have this

74:08

difficulty

74:09

you have within the society all of these

74:12

groups or these two

74:13

two big antagonistic groups

74:17

and how do you reconcile them how do you

74:19

reconcile a group that says we're

74:21

perfectly willing to engage intellectual

74:22

fraud if it

74:24

uh obtains our short-term interests and

74:26

another group has said no we don't want

74:27

electoral fraud

74:29

actually i don't care if it did yeah i

74:31

don't care i don't think you care about

74:32

trump and biden

74:34

that's not the overarching principle

74:36

yeah there's much bigger principles

74:39

yeah there's a big much bigger principle

74:40

than trump or biden and so you could

74:42

reverse those people and put trump on

74:44

the democratic side and biden on the

74:45

government that wouldn't make any

74:46

difference

74:46

to the principle it had nothing to do

74:48

with personalities

74:50

it even has nothing to do with their

74:51

policies politically speaking or

74:53

economically speaking

74:55

it has to do with the survival of the

74:57

underlying system of the foundational

74:58

system

75:00

you destroy the foundation the whole

75:02

edifice collapses with it

75:05

and that's what they're doing in that

75:06

hypothetical that you proposed of what

75:08

do we do

75:08

as a people divided is if it's true that

75:12

the halfs are half who believe in the

75:15

rule of law

75:16

and half who do not adhere to the

75:18

restrictions of the constitution or the

75:20

restrictions of

75:21

orderly society the restrictions of rule

75:22

of law well yeah certainly

75:25

especially if public officialdom

75:29

which is supposed to be on the side of

75:30

the rule of law either stands aside

75:34

or sides with the people who are against

75:37

the rule of law if you look at all of

75:38

these

75:38

portland look at portland seattle you

75:41

name

75:42

new york yes all sorts of cities that

75:44

engage in these

75:46

uh riotous events in which what happened

75:50

the local officialdom stood down

75:54

maybe they didn't exactly side with the

75:56

rioters

75:57

but they certainly didn't protect the

75:59

local businessmen and so forth from the

76:01

looting and destruction that went on

76:04

so now what do you say about that kind

76:05

of a situation if it develops

76:07

across the country oh my goodness how

76:11

how do you correct that situation

76:15

that's why i say these unprecedented uh

76:18

events because not only do we not did we

76:22

not have them in the past

76:24

we really don't have any idea how to

76:25

deal with them if they occurred in the

76:26

future

76:27

well i think they're not entirely

76:28

unprecedented because you've pointed out

76:30

that there have been some really

76:31

horrific stories of

76:33

either central or south american

76:34

countries and other empires in the past

76:37

which have fallen yeah but not

76:38

correcting them they've been

76:40

that they're exactly right they have

76:41

horrific stories these things went bad

76:43

and they went bad to

76:44

a destructive end yes and they still

76:47

haven't corrected them right look at all

76:48

these south american countries

76:50

they me one major south american country

76:51

that has a stable constitutional

76:53

this government in favor of human rights

76:59

name one

77:03

well there you go you can't name it

77:05

right they've gone through all this

77:06

turmoil

77:08

leftist governments right-wing

77:10

governments military coups military

77:12

hunters back and forth up and down

77:14

and they're still in that mess

77:17

whatever kind of government they may

77:19

have

77:21

in principle in name they're still in

77:24

that mess

77:28

and so i look at this well we are on

77:30

like on the edge of the precipice

77:32

you go over the edge of the precipice

77:33

and it's a long drop down apparently

77:34

there's no bottom

77:37

because look at the south american

77:38

countries where there's no bottom there

77:39

clearly

77:41

i was hoping you could give us some

77:43

suggestion of what an ordinary person

77:45

who is

77:46

gravely concerned about this highly

77:48

motivated for the good of their family

77:50

their children their grandchildren our

77:52

nation

77:52

can do at this time

77:56

well i think you you've got to go back

77:58

to

78:02

uh and i don't want to put this in a

78:04

kind of militaristic and

78:05

and um uh uh

78:08

civil war context you've got to go back

78:11

to the principles of

78:12

here in virginia it's article 1 section

78:14

13 the u.s constitution's second

78:16

amendment what does it say

78:19

what what is necessary for the security

78:22

of a free state what is necessary for

78:24

the

78:24

what is the natural uh defense natural

78:27

proper and safe defense of a free state

78:29

the way the constitution of virginia

78:30

will organize militia well-organized

78:33

militia we're not simply talking about

78:34

people with guns we're talking about

78:35

people organized

78:36

you know in a political structure

78:38

recognizing

78:39

that they have the ultimate power in

78:41

society and when public officials get

78:43

out of line

78:44

and there's no other way to correct them

78:47

the people have to act

78:49

directly and we will come to that that

78:53

will be the choice eventually if this

78:54

goes down that road

78:56

the public officials don't correct this

78:58

situation by returning to real

78:59

constitutional principles

79:00

and suppressing the anti-constitutional

79:03

antics of others in public office

79:05

then the people themselves are going to

79:07

have to speak out just the way they did

79:08

under the declaration of independence

79:14

and i think if anyone wants to look at

79:15

the history of this as an example forget

79:17

massachusetts because

79:19

that's the example most people think of

79:21

look at what happened in virginia from

79:23

1773 onwards

79:27

gives a virginia gives a classic example

79:29

what goes on

79:30

when the the governmental apparatus at

79:32

the highest level

79:33

turns against the people

79:37

refuses to listen to the people's just

79:40

petitions for redress

79:43

now it turned out successfully in that

79:45

instance historically speaking

79:49

but that's the model in addition to that

79:52

do you see

79:53

you've mentioned effectless examples

79:56

of people who are in positions of

79:58

authority

79:59

by right but are not exercising it are

80:02

there any standouts

80:03

whom we can throw our support behind who

80:06

are fighting the good fight currently

80:10

well no we're going to have to see we're

80:11

going to have to see what trump does

80:13

he's unfortunately he's the fighter we

80:14

have in the ring

80:15

that's my problem thank goodness he's

80:18

apparently uh accepted someone like

80:21

sydney powell

80:22

who you know who showed her ability with

80:24

the flynn case

80:26

but she's only one person

80:30

i hope she can influence some others who

80:32

are already there and maybe uh

80:34

cause trump to bring some more people in

80:37

at this late date

80:38

it's just it's a good sign that finally

80:40

he listened to her

80:41

yes probably because of her success in

80:43

the flynn case but once again she's only

80:45

one person

80:47

so you know it's a sign of a sign of

80:49

hope there it's a little flicker

80:51

but it's better than nothing and she has

80:53

been successful

80:55

with flynn up to this point and she's

80:57

certainly someone who's not going to

80:59

simply roll over and play dead for them

81:04

well it's proving to be a extraordinary

81:07

and eventful time in our the lives of

81:10

all of us and

81:11

in our republic and we would like you to

81:13

continue to be there for us giving us

81:15

clarity

81:16

and helping us to see with the eyes that

81:18

you bring to that

81:19

what's unfolding so we'd like to have

81:21

you back at your

81:22

nearest availability as we move forward

81:25

because it sounds like things are going

81:25

to be happening a lot in the next

81:27

month to two to three months all right

81:29

well whatever very good we've been

81:30

speaking with dr

81:31

edwin vera constitutional attorney dr

81:35

vera thank you very much for joining us

81:36

on behalf of our viewers

81:38

on liberty and finance okay thank you

81:42

[Music]
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